



# Trione-Annadel State Park

## ROAD AND TRAIL MANAGEMENT PLAN

### Summary of Public Meeting #2

March 2026

**PREPARED FOR:**

California State Parks  
PO Box 942896  
Sacramento, CA, 94296





## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

California State Parks (State Parks) is preparing a Road and Trail Management Plan (RTMP or plan) for Trione-Annadel State Park (TASP or the park). The RTMP will examine the system of roads and trails in the park and provide direction for its long-term development, maintenance, and management. The RTMP planning process is considering current and potential future public use, park operations, and the protection and enhancement of cultural and natural resources to provide high-quality recreational opportunities while protecting sensitive resources.

State Parks hosted a public meeting on February 4, 2026, from 5:30 pm to 7:30 pm at the Santa Rosa Veterans Memorial Building. The public meeting was hosted in-person and an associated Public Draft RTMP online feedback platform was kept open to gather public comments from January 28, 2026 through February 27, 2026, using the interactive websites Social Pinpoint and RuttI. The workshop included a welcome station, pre-recorded presentation about the RTMP, and open house format for participants to leave feedback and interact with State Parks staff at each station. A summary of public meeting #2 is provided below, and a recording of the presentation is available here on the project website: <https://www.parks.ca.gov/TASPRTMP>.



## 1.2 PURPOSE

The purpose of Public Meeting #2 was to provide an overview of the RTMP process, introduce the public review draft RTMP, and solicit feedback on draft RTMP recommendations. The open house portion of the meeting was intended to gather overall feedback on the draft plan recommendations, including identifying specific proposals that participants supported or did not support and what elements they believed were missing.

## 1.3 FORMAT

### Open House

To allow attendees to provide feedback on their own timeline and at their own pace, the project team placed boards around the Veterans Memorial Building auditorium that contained maps and feedback exercises for parkwide recommendations and recommendations for each of the planning zones. At each of these stations, State Parks and consultant team staff were present to answer questions and gather input from meeting attendees, using post-it notes to document comments specifically related to the boards displayed.

### Welcome and Introductions

Meeting attendees were greeted in the lobby of the Veterans Memorial Building where staff provided them with a sign-in sheet, background flyer that described the open house format and topics covered at each station, and a comment card for writing general comments about the RTMP.

### Presentation

During the public meeting, a pre-recorded presentation was played three times at half hour intervals in a separate room from the open house stations so participants could learn more about the draft RTMP before visiting the open house stations. The presentation summarized the planning process to date, including key

efforts that informed the recommendations such as the non-system route evaluations, and earlier public engagement activities. It also highlighted what was heard during the first round of engagement, including major opportunities and constraints identified by participants. The presentation then provided an overview of the parkwide and planning zone recommendations and oriented participants to the structure and content of the RTMP.

### Open House Stations

Eight stations were located throughout the auditorium with the proposed park recommendations and to capture feedback on the Public Draft RTMP and what new or expanded educational programs should be considered at the park. Participants could visit each station, review the recommendations, and provide feedback, ask questions, and discuss their input with State Parks staff. At the Public Draft RTMP station, participants were able to provide feedback on the document through online interactive exercises or directly on the digital document. The stations presented the display boards shown below:

- Station 1: Parkwide Recommendations:** Participants were asked to provide feedback on what they liked, did not like, and what was missing from the parkwide recommendations.

- Station 2: Cobblestone Zone Recommendations:** Participants were asked to provide feedback on what they liked, did not like, and what was missing from the Cobblestone Zone recommendations.



- Station 3: Richardson Zone Recommendations: Participants were asked to provide feedback on what they liked, did not like, and what was missing from the Richardson Zone recommendations.

### Richardson Zone Recommendations

Recomendaciones para la zona Richardson

Plan Recommendations\* | Recomendaciones del Plan

Existing Conditions | Condiciones Actuales

### Richardson Zone Recommendations

Recomendaciones para la zona Richardson

WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué le gusta de las recomendaciones de las zonas?

WHAT DO YOU NOT LIKE ABOUT THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué no le gusta de las recomendaciones de las zonas?

WHAT IS MISSING FROM THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué hace falta sobre las recomendaciones de las zonas?

- Station 4: Bennett Zone Recommendations: Participants were asked to provide feedback on what they liked, did not like, and what was missing from the Bennett Zone recommendations.

### Bennett Zone Recommendations

Recomendaciones para la zona Bennett

Plan Recommendations\* | Recomendaciones del Plan

Existing Conditions | Condiciones Actuales

### Bennett Zone Recommendations

Recomendaciones para la zona Bennett

WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué le gusta de las recomendaciones de las zonas?

WHAT DO YOU NOT LIKE ABOUT THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué no le gusta de las recomendaciones de las zonas?

WHAT IS MISSING FROM THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué hace falta sobre las recomendaciones de las zonas?

- Station 5: Lawndale Zone Recommendations: Participants were asked to provide feedback on what they liked, did not like, and what was missing from the Lawndale Zone recommendations.

### Lawndale Zone Recommendations

Recomendaciones para la zona Lawndale

Plan Recommendations\* | Recomendaciones del Plan

Existing Conditions | Condiciones Actuales

### Lawndale Zone Recommendations

Recomendaciones para la zona Lawndale

WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué le gusta de las recomendaciones de las zonas?

WHAT DO YOU NOT LIKE ABOUT THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué no le gusta de las recomendaciones de las zonas?

WHAT IS MISSING FROM THE ZONE RECOMMENDATIONS?

¿Qué hace falta sobre las recomendaciones de las zonas?





## 1.4 ATTENDANCE

The in-person public meeting was hosted by State Parks staff and their consultant team (Ascent). A total of 106 community members were in attendance throughout the evening.

## 1.5 PUBLIC OUTREACH

The following strategies were used in advertising the public meeting to encourage participation from a wide range of visitors, from frequent park visitors to visitors who may use the park more frequently if other opportunities were available.

### Project Webpage

The project webpage (<https://www.parks.ca.gov/TASPRTMP>) provided information on how to register for the public meeting and its location along with how to access the online feedback exercises. The webpage also provides information on the planning process, other ways to participate, and links to the existing park use maps. Visitors can also sign up for email updates and find an agency email address to contact with their comments or questions.

### Project Contact List

Emails were sent to the project contact list announcing the public meeting. The project contact list included approximately 275 email addresses for nonprofit organizations, private stakeholders, neighboring property owners, and various user groups. People who wish to be included in communications for the RTMP can sign up for the contact list via the project webpage anytime throughout the RTMP process.

### Postcards and Flyers

The project team prepared and distributed postcards and flyers that notified users of the RTMP and upcoming public meeting. The postcards encouraged park users to visit the project website to get involved throughout the RTMP planning process and register for the public meeting. Signage and notification materials were placed at the entry locations, park facilities, trailheads, and other access points to notify the public of the planning process.

**Trione-Annadel State Park**  
**ROAD AND TRAIL MANAGEMENT PLAN**

**IN-PERSON PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS THE DRAFT PLAN**

Open house format - drop by anytime between 5:30 and 7:00pm to allow sufficient time for information and feedback!

**Wednesday, February 4, 2026**  
5:30 - 7:30pm

Santa Rosa Veterans Memorial Building  
1351 Maple Ave., Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Learn more at [www.parks.ca.gov/TASPRTMP](https://www.parks.ca.gov/TASPRTMP)  
or scan the QR code:

**REGISTER HERE**

## Social Media

Social media posts were provided across the State Parks Bay Area District Instagram and Facebook accounts, encouraging the public to attend the public meeting.



## 1.6 SUMMARY OF PUBLIC MEETING #2

The summary below provides the key themes from feedback received at each station in-person public meeting on February 4, 2026.

### Station 1: Parkwide Recommendations

#### What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

- **Strong Overall Support** – Many commenters expressed enthusiasm for the plan and its long-term vision.
- **Balanced User Access** – Feedback noted the plan balances different user groups while equestrians requested retention of existing horse trails.
- **Expanded Trail Opportunities** – Support for adding trails and incorporating existing user-created trails.
- **Trail Management Improvements** – Suggestions included trail difficulty ratings, one-way or use-specific trails, and uphill-only bike use on certain trails.
- **Maintenance and Funding** – Interest in improved maintenance and willingness to pay user fees if funds support trail upkeep and maintenance.
- **Implementation Questions** – Requests for clarity on prioritization, timeline, and how recommendations will be implemented.
- **Enforcement** – Desire for stronger enforcement of non-system trail use.
- **Partnerships** – Support for working with nonprofit trail-building organizations.
- **Community Benefits** – Recognition that expanded access could benefit the local economy and park revenue.
- **Bike-Only and Directional Trails** – Support for designated bike-only and directional trails to reduce user conflicts.



## What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

- **E-Bike Access** – Mixed opinions on allowing Class 1 e-bikes, with both support and opposition expressed.
- **Equestrian and Hike Trails** – Concern about a lack of horse/hike trails.
- **Trail Fees** – Divided views on user fees, with some supporting fees for mountain bikers and others strongly opposed due to affordability concerns.
- **Monitoring Technology** – Opposition to the use of new technology to monitor conditions and non-system route creation in the park.
- **Maintenance Priorities** – Requests to prioritize incremental improvements and maintenance of existing official trails.
- **Non-System Trails** – Concern about legitimizing or encouraging unauthorized trail creation.

## What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

- **Trail Use and Design** – Interest in bike-only downhill trails, directional trails, skill-rated trails, and potential hiking-only designations for steep routes.
- **E-Bike Management** – Requests to enforce e-bike prohibitions or clarify e-bike access and manage bike speeds.
- **Equestrian Access** – Concern about limited equestrian trails and requests to add equestrian routes if access is removed elsewhere.
- **Maintenance and Funding** – Strong emphasis on prioritizing maintenance of existing trails, identifying funding sources, and earmarking budgets for trail upkeep.
- **Enforcement and Education** – Calls for improved enforcement, user education, and clear consequences to manage conflicts and ensure proper trail use.
- **Environmental Protection** – Concerns about new or non-system trails fragmenting wildlife habitat and migration paths.
- **Access, Equity, and Outreach** – Requests to engage accessibility groups (ADA), provide Spanish-language materials, and ensure inclusive participation.
- **Coordination with Adjacent Parks** – Need for better coordination with nearby parks and regional trail connections to manage visitor flow.
- **Amenities and Wayfinding** – Requests for more water, trash cans, and improved signage and wayfinding (including distances to restrooms).
- **Community Partnerships** – Interest in opportunities for volunteers and community groups to assist with trail maintenance.
- **Implementation and Planning Clarity** – Questions about funding models, user fees, prioritization of new vs. existing trails, and the process for incorporating non-system trails into the official system.
- **Additional Recreation Opportunities** – Suggestion to consider adding activities such as disc golf.

## Station 2: Cobblestone Zone Recommendations

### What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

- **Trail Separation** - There is support for keeping Spring Creek Trail hike/pedestrian-only, with some interest in time-based downhill access for bikes.
- **Bike-Optimized Zones** - There is broad enthusiasm for dedicated bike zones that include challenging features and a potential bike-only designation.
- **Trail Maintenance** - Rough Go (C-03) is identified as a priority for repair or replacement.
- **Trail Proposals** - There is specific endorsement of trail proposals C-04, C-07, and C-11.
- **Overall Plan Support** - There is general community-wide support for the plan and proposed improvements.
- **Community Engagement** - Appreciation is expressed for the public engagement process.

### What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

- **Trail Difficulty** - There is opposition to reducing the challenge on Rough Go (C-03) and Cobblestone (C-01), with a clear desire to preserve their natural character.
- **Bike-Only Designation** - There is a suggestion for separating bikers and hikers through dedicated bike-only corridor designations.
- **Spring Creek Directional Use** - Opinion is divided on bike access, though there is general agreement that the trail should be designed to accommodate multiple uses.
- **C-05 Access** - There is a specific call for multi-directional bike access on C-05 to improve flexibility and connectivity within the trail network.
- **Horse Exclusion** - There is opposition to allowing horses in the R-06 and R-07 zones, citing blind corners and the safety risk of mixing horses with mountain bikes.

### What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

- **Spring Creek Management** - There is interest in structured time-based and day-of-week restrictions on Spring Creek Trail, with enforcement identified as critical to making any system work.
- **User Conflict & Safety** - There is concern about collisions and interference between bikes, hikers, and walkers, particularly around Spring Lake access points and high-traffic downhill areas.
- **Bike-Only Trail Designation** - There is frustration that new trails continue to be designated multi-use, with a call for dedicated bike-only and directional trails to reduce conflict and improve safety.
- **E-Bike Access & Fee Structure** - There is support for permitting Class I e-bikes, paired with a sustainable funding mechanism such as annual or day-pass fees, with parking fees at the Channel also raised.
- **Preserve Rough Go's Difficulty** - There is opposition to softening Rough Go (C-03), reinforcing that its challenging character is valued and should be protected.
- **C-05 Designation** - There is divided opinion on C-05, with some opposing bike access entirely and others suggesting re-designation for horse and hike use rather than bikes.



## Station 3: Richardson Zone Recommendations

### What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

- **Bike-Optimized Zones** - There is enthusiasm for dedicated bike-optimized zones, widely seen as a solution to existing park conflicts, with R-06, R-07, and R-09 receiving specific and repeated endorsement.
- **Horse Exclusion** - There is opposition to allowing horses in the R-06 and R-07 zones, citing blind corners and the safety risk of mixing horses with mountain bikes.
- **Burma to Quarry Trail Potential** - There is recognition of untapped potential in the trail network between Burma and Quarry, with a suggestion to evaluate one-way bike directional flow where terrain warrants it.
- **Dedicated Single-Use Trails** - There is support for separating user groups through dedicated bike-only and walking-only trails across the park.
- **Quarry Road & Fire Access** - There is support for Quarry Road improvements that serve both recreational trail use and fire access needs.

### What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

- **R-06 Legitimization** - Concerns about formalizing R-06, on the grounds that it is an illegal trail and that the area should be preserved as undisturbed wildlife habitat.
- **R-08 Designation** - Concerns about designating R-08 as horse/hike only, with alternatives proposed including directional downhill, uphill-only bike access, or a parallel bike-only trail.
- **R-05 Bike Access** - There are repeated calls for R-05 to be open to bikes as either a multi-use or bike-and-hike trail.
- **Bike Infrastructure Impact on Hikers** - There is concern that bike-optimized features such as banked turns are unwelcoming or unnecessary for hikers on shared trails.
- **Horse Access & Environmental Impact** - Opinion is divided, with some wanting horses included in R-06 zones and others calling for park-wide limits due to disproportionate environmental impact per user.
- **Enforcement Feasibility** - There is skepticism about whether trail use restrictions can realistically be enforced, particularly regarding ranger presence on trails like R-05.
- **Two Quarry Conversion** - There is opposition to converting Two Quarry to a road, citing high cost and the availability of alternative access routes.

### What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

- **Manzanita Trail** - There are repeated calls for Manzanita to be formally adopted and maintained as a mountain bike trail, with concern that without adoption it will continue as an unmanaged user-built zone.
- **Expanded Bike-Optimized Zones** - The plan is seen as not going far enough, with the R-05/R-10 corridor and Manzanita identified as missed opportunities.
- **Darkside Trail** - Darkside Trail is identified as a premier downhill trail whose absence from the plan is a significant oversight.
- **Trail Connectivity** - A missed connection between the east end of R-08 and the northwest end of R-07 is identified as an opportunity to improve network flow.

- **R-02 Deterioration** - South Burma into Buick Meadow (R-02) is in serious decline, with e-bike use accelerating erosion and no clear solution presented in the plan.
- **Two Quarry Road Conversion** - There is a lack of transparency around cost and easement details, with an alternative access route via White Oak in Oakmont already available.
- **Disc Golf** - There is a missed opportunity to incorporate disc golf as an additional recreational amenity.

## Station 4: Bennett Zone Recommendations

### What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

- **Bike-Optimized Zones** - There is broad enthusiasm for the bike-optimized zone concept, seen as a practical solution to user conflict that will be highly utilized.
- **Trail Endorsements** - B-02, B-03, B-04, B-05, B-06, B-08, and B-09 all receive support, with B-03 and B-04 generating the strongest response and B-04's connector role highlighted as critical.
- **Local Access & Regional Connectivity** - B-05 is praised for enabling car-free local access, and connections to regional parks are welcomed as a meaningful network expansion.
- **Legalization of Informal Trails** - There is appreciation for formalizing existing unofficial trails, seen as a pragmatic and overdue step.
- **Varied Difficulty Levels** - There is support for offering a range of trail difficulties to make the bike zone accessible to riders of all skill levels.
- **Facility Improvements** - There is positive reception for a restroom at B-09, Canyon Trail repair, and trail upgrades supporting fire protection access.
- **Balance & Inclusivity** - The plan is seen as striking a reasonable balance across user groups, with economic benefits to the park and local community acknowledged.

### What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

- **Illegal Trail Enforcement** - There is concern that illegal trails are actively being built with no clear enforcement response, and a call to slow down expansion until oversight is in place.
- **Wildlife & Conservation** - There is opposition to formalizing illegal trails, particularly B-03, with broad concern that extensive trail networks conflict with the park's role as natural habitat.
- **Marsh Trail Road Conversion** - There is objection to converting Marsh Trail into a road, with concern for preserving its natural surface and protecting the redwood grove.
- **Equestrian Equity** - There is a call for greater balance in trail designations, suggesting an equestrian alternative be provided for every new bike trail added.
- **Trail Surface Quality** - There is opposition to heavy gravel use, with a preference for natural surfaces maintained throughout the park.
- **Terminology & Naming** - There is objection to the use of term "bicycling" vs "mountain biking" as an inaccurate descriptor, and lighthearted pushback on the name "Henry's Knob."

### What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

- **ADA Accessible Trails** - A dedicated ADA trail paralleling Canyon to the lake is absent from the plan and identified as an important inclusion for equitable park access.



- **Purpose-Built MTB Features** - The plan lacks purpose-built features such as drops, jumps, and berms, which are seen as essential to a truly optimized bike zone.
- **Enforcement & Weather Closures** - There is no clear plan for ranger presence or weather-based trail use restrictions during wet conditions.
- **Unmapped Informal Trails** - Non-system trails including the Viet Veterans connector and Henry's Knob are absent from the plan, with Henry's Knob seen as an opportunity to formalize and monetize for park revenue.
- **Future Regional Connectivity** - A future connection to the Geary Ranch parcel is unacknowledged in the plan despite being an anticipated long-term network link.
- **Marsh to Ridge Connector** - The Marsh to Ridge connector is identified as missing or at risk of being lost, with a clear call for it to be retained in the plan.
- **Horse Exclusion on B-02** - The absence of a horse exclusion designation on B-02 is flagged as an oversight given the trail's intended use.

## Station 5: Lawndale Zone Recommendations

### What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

- **L-02 and Fryman Canyon Trail** - There is broad enthusiasm for L-02 upgrades and preservation of Fryman Canyon Trail, with steepness noted as a natural deterrent for bikes.
- **L-03 Designation Change** - There is support and repeated desire for the L-03 trail use designation change.
- **L-05 Oakmont Access** - The L-05 connection to Oakmont is welcomed as an important access improvement for the surrounding community.
- **Quarry Connections** - New trail connections to the quarry are appreciated as a meaningful addition to the network.
- **Natural Resource Prioritization** - There is appreciation for limiting trail density in this zone in recognition of its natural resource values.

### What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

- **Enforcement & Illegal Trails** - There is repeated concern that without active enforcement, new designations will be ignored, and illegal trail building will continue.
- **Trail Separation** - There is objection to combining biking and hiking on the same trails, with a preference for dedicated single-use designations.
- **Horse Access on L-05** - There is opposition to removing equestrian access from L-05, with a call to retain horses as a permitted user group.
- **L-02 Sustainability** - The proposed L-02 descent to Woodley is identified as too steep to be practically maintainable long-term.
- **Loss of Existing Connectors** - There is concern that key connectors between L-01, L-02, and the Lawndale flat section near the powerline road may be lost.
- **Conservation Priority** - There is broader opposition grounded in a conservation-first philosophy, citing the cumulative impact of trail expansion on natural resources.
- **Rhyolite Trail Compliance** - There is skepticism that a hike-only designation on Rhyolite Trail will be respected, with the counter-observation that bike use currently keeps the trail clear.

### What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

- **Lawndale to Schultz Connection** - A dirt trail connector between Lawndale and Schultz zones, including along the powerline corridor, is the most frequently raised gap in the plan.
- **Internal Connector Trails** - Several missing links are identified, including L-01 to L-02, a Quarry to Lawndale loop, and retention of the powerline connector trail.
- **Equestrian Representation** - There is concern that horse access is being quietly eroded, though others counter that horse impact is too high for trails such as L-02.
- **Paid Parking & Revenue** - Paid parking at Lawndale trailhead is identified as missing, with QR code implementation and expanded parking capacity both flagged as needed.
- **Facilities & Amenities** - Water access, a bathroom or vault toilet, and disc golf are identified as missing amenities.
- **Vegetation Maintenance** - Poison oak trimming, particularly on upper Lawndale Trail, is flagged as an unaddressed maintenance need.
- **Hike-Only Trail Preservation** - There is concern that low-impact hike-only trails such as Rhyolite are under protected, with a call to preserve them from bike encroachment.

## Station 6: Schultz Zone Recommendations

### What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

- **Strong Support for S-05** - Repeated endorsement of S-05, valued both as a standalone loop for bikes and as a future gateway connection to Sonoma Mountain Regional Park and Jack London Park.
- **S-03 as an Important Connector** - Support for S-03 as a welcome and well-positioned connector trail within the Schultz Zone network.
- **S-01 Support** - Direct endorsement of S-01, though without elaboration, reflecting general confidence in the proposal.
- **Trailhead Parking Expansion** - Support for improving vehicle access at the Schultz trailhead, with a specific suggestion for a small gravel parking area inside the gate accommodating four to six vehicles.

### What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

- **S-03 Wildlife Habitat Concerns** - Opposition to S-03 on the grounds that it fragments contiguous wildlife habitat and legitimizes an illegal shortcut trail through a sensitive area.
- **S-05 Vernal Pool Sensitivity** - Concern that S-05 passes through ecologically sensitive vernal pool habitat, with a call to restrict access to hikers only to minimize environmental impact.

### What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

- **Lawndale to Schultz Connection** - An off-road dirt connection between Lawndale and Schultz is the most repeated gap, eliminating the need to use the road.
- **High Water Creek Notification** - There is no system for alerting trail users when Schultz Creek crossings are inaccessible due to high water, flagged as a safety gap.
- **Facilities & Amenities** - Water access and a bathroom or vault toilet are identified as missing amenities at the trailhead or along the trail corridor.



- **Waterfall Trail Omission** - The Schultz waterfall trail is absent from the draft plan with no explanation, flagged as an overlooked asset.
- **Alternative S-03 Alignment** - An existing non-system trail offering a potentially better alignment than the proposed S-03 ridge rework is identified as an unconsidered option.
- **Disc Golf** - Disc golf is raised as a missing recreational amenity, consistent with requests noted in other zones.

## Station 7: Educational Programs

The following are responses received for what new or expanded educational programs should be considered at the park.

- Trail etiquette education through signage and classes
- User group safety classes covering horses and bikes

## 1.7 APPENDIX

### Comments Received

The comments below are copied verbatim from the Public Meeting on February 4, 2026:

#### Parkwide Recommendations

Comment	Discussion Prompt
May wish to couside trail to north of spillway as hiking only as steps already exist. Current trail very steep & rough.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Please make sure to speak & connect with groups that work with people of all avbility levels - I.E. ADA	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Missing Bike-only Downhill trails	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Need better coordination with Spring Lake Park and <u>City Viet Nam Trail</u> bike traffic accessing Anadel is negative for Spring Lake users	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Enforcement to keep e-bikes out and bike speed in check!	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Enforce e-bike prohibition <u>Please</u>	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Water at top of 2 Quarry	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
New and non-system trails segment wildlife habitat and migration paths	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Minimal new equestrian trails equestrians do not want to go on multi-use trails w/out enforcement	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
IF you take away equestrian access on some trails the add equestrian trails	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
P-06 reccomendations unclear does this mean user fee (ped, horse, bike, vehicle) at each or most entrance, how, when, put specifics in reccomendation	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Verify funding model -Committed budget -Aspirational budget  Access/Use -Revenue generator +Channel Dr parking area - incorporate -Clarify/redefine access for e-bikes	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Oversight and enforcement -Education -Consequences Experiences -Uni-directional trail marking -Skill rated trail marking	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Some way to educate around giving way to others - stay to the right - etc... -hiker	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?



Comment	Discussion Prompt
More trash cans please ty	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
More water please! :)	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Wayfinding signs w/ distance to bathrooms on trails & signage on bathroom saying what they are. THX! Systemwide	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Please make sure to speak & connect with groups that work with people of all avbility levels - I.E. ADA	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Please consider Disc Golf. United Flyers of Sonoma Disc Golf Club	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Are there any ways to allow for community to assist w/ maintenance w/ trails?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Need better coordination with Spring Lake Park and <u>City Viet Nam</u> Trail bike traffic accessing Anadel is negative for Spring Lake users	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
This plan needs to Be translated + accessible in Spanish - to get input from our community.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
<p>Review of existing established trail ~45 miles today</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Investment to maintain existing</li> <li>-Assess priority versus developing new</li> <li>-Many access/uphill routes are effectively impassible for average/learner biker due to poor conditions</li> <li>+eg Cobblestone, N Burma, Rough Go, Creekside</li> <li>+Therefore uphill traffic is biased to Richardson, Canyon on the north/west side</li> <li>-These same routes are downhill centric for bikers and thus problematic for uphill users</li> <li>+As are certian sections of many other trails</li> </ul> <p>New Trails</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Looks like 10-15 miles of potential</li> <li>-Maintenance burden increased by 25-30% - budget/resources</li> </ul>	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Earmark existing budget for trail maintenance	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
P-02- Need to have money for trail maintenance with community	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Are there any ways to allow for community to assist w/ maintenance w/ trails?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Is any of this going to happen? Does this plan mean there is a change in attitude & resources for TASP from State Parks?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
fire-hardened trails (SBTS model), especially in the eastern + southern sections of the park	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
What is the process for determining whether to incorporate a non-system trail into the system?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Agree with the folks supporting some bike only trails as well as directional trails. Hiking w/out bikes & biking w/out hikers is fun	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

Comment	Discussion Prompt
Class 1 ebikes should be allowed. <--This please! IIIII	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Class 1 ebikes should <u>NOT</u> be allowed	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
There is a dissapointing lack of Horse/Hike trails.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
There should be a trail fee for montian bikers - yearly, day use	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Trail fees is a bog NO. I'm poor I want to use the park.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
No "monitoring technology" this is a recreation area	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
P-19 - No monitoring technology. Not nessecary. Ripe for abuse.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Recs should prioritize incremental imporvements to system trails	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
It seems there aren't enough resources sufficent for existing offical trails. How can you spread work thinner over more features? Take care of and enforce use of offical trails in existence already.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
No camping Fire Risk is too high	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
<-- You can camp without fires in fire rings	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Don't reward "non-system" trail creation by legitimizing bad precedent	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Excellent recongnition of the trails in the cycling zone. As existing very well built. They should have difficulty rating, as in skiing.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Bike use uphill only is a good idea on Spring Creek!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
This plan has balance for all groups. Bikers feel heard and want to use the park, but also help protect it. All bikes are good with proper trail maintenance.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Enforcement on non-system trail use!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
If hourse use is only .3% Please do not take any trails from us. We are a minority with little impact. Michael Murphy	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Looking forward to increased maintenance that I expect to see once user fees are implemented	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
P-06 - I would happily pay if \$ are going to park maintenance	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Expanding access is good for the community and local economy. Park revenue will go up + local businesses will see the impact	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?



Comment	Discussion Prompt
Like the scope and long term goals	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
P-03! How do you implement P-08!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I love the Plan! Stamp it and lets GO!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love all the added trails!!!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Thank you!!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Mostly looks great. Congrats. Great capture of essence & detail. Will any of it happen? What is the prioritization process?	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Thank you for listening to input from <u>everyone</u> ! There is a good balance of additions & conditions based on current patterns of use & need for trail to road conversions for safety & staff.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Important to contract with non-profit trail building organizations to do trail work	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
This plan has balance for all groups. Bikers feel heard and want to use the park, but also help protect it. All bikes are good with proper trail maintenance.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
P-06 - I would happily pay if \$ are going to park maintenance	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
designating some trails as one-way or specific-use only to reduce user conflicts	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
It's great that you are adopting so many of the existing "user made" trails. Looking forward to some trail improvements.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

### Cobblestone Zone Recommendations

Comment	Discussion Prompt
day of week directional use on Spring Creek Trail. Enforce to keep people honest	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
pay for parking at channel!	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Permit Class I e-bikes. Charge annual or day pass	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Bikers using Spring Lake to access park and interfering with walkers in Spring Lake	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Keep Rough Go "rough"	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
You have hike only but no bike only. new "nike" trails still say multi-use. need directional trails to prevent collisions	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
No to C5 bikes like the downhill also	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
consider different time management for Spring Creek Trail. For example: M-F Ok, Sat & Sun No Bikes from 8am-3pm	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

Comment	Discussion Prompt
day of week directional use on Spring Creek Trail. Enforce to keep people honest	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Sprin Creek downhill weekends only	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
trail/park access so close to Spring Lake might create high uphill hiker traffic in popular bike optimized downhill area. Concerned but not agaisnt. We can get along.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
no bike downhill on Spring Creek - Where will they go down, canyon @ 15mph?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Make C-05 horse/hike instead of R-08	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Permit Class I e-bikes. Charge annual or day pass	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Dont change the MTB trails. Add jumps, drops, berms	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
anything in a biking corridor should be "bike only" and not be combined with hiking	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
For C-01, please do not reduce the bike difficulty of cobblestone	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
rough go ... should be rough	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
C-03 please do not sanatize Rough Go	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
uphill and downhill on Spring Creek	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Make C-5 multi-directional for bikes	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
no downhill on Spring Creek design trail to accomodate both ways	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
thank you for listening to us! Thank you for Spring Creek Trail with no downhill cyclists!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
The mountain bike zone is needed and will be epic if maintained	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Great to see all the proposed trail adoptions and the bike optimized zone. I support it all!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Support C-11	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love the bike optimized zones and hope this will include challenging natural features. Perhaps include a bike only (such as hike) in one of these zones.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
All trail improvements!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Great plan!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love all the ideas =)	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Replace Rough Go C-3 & yes to all the trails in this zone. Thank you!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?



Comment	Discussion Prompt
yes to C-07 bikes	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Fix Rough Go C-03	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Replace Rough Go C-3 & yes to all the trails in this zone. Thank you!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
yes to C-04 bike	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Please allow riding downhill Spring Creek at certain times of the day	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Spring Creek off only is good idea	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

### Richardson Zone Recommendations

Comment	Discussion Prompt
We need more biking zones (Manzanita)	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Area between R-05 + R-10 should be included for bikes! Changes could be made to avoid water resources	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
R-5 could be another bike optimized area	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Keep Darkside. It is one of the best downhill trails in the park.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Horses have an outsized impact <u>per</u> user!	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Manzanita should stay open	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Manzanita Trail is a must for downhill MTB	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Manzanita needs to be adopted and maintained! If not, it will remain a user built trail zone.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Manzanita trails should be adopted. Otherwise will continue as is.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Manzanita trail should not be decommissioned. It is a key trail for mountain bikers	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Manz trail for MTB is a staple & needed Thx.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
MANZANITA	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
MTB on Manzanita	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Please add Disc Golf	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Why not end R-08 east end at NW end of R-07? Better connectivity	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
South Burma leading into Buick Meadow is almost unusable (R-02). This issue seems to have accelerated w/ the advent of high-torque ebikes. How can you really fix R-02	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Rainforest would need to re-route for horses, so why not leave what's there for bikes?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

Comment	Discussion Prompt
2 Quarry Road Conversion -Cost of this? -easment thru wild oak. Public document? If so, please release it	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
There is no need to convert Two Quarry to a road as there is an access road via White Oak in Oakmont.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
bike optimized features on trails are a pain for hikers who don't typically need banked turns.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
NO R-06 - wildlife deserves an area not cut up by trails. R-06 is an illegal trail and should not be Legitimized	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
How are you going to keep bikes off R-5? Rangers posted at both ends?	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Some of the new R-6 trails should allow horses!	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Horses should be 0.3% or <u>less</u> of total trails because of hi impact per user.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
I'll miss the trail between Steves & R-01 as it's a nicer alternative for hikers not to have to walk on the gravel road of Richardson.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
R-08 should be directional downhill for bikes. It has been 1000% maintained by mtbers. Completely too steep & dangerous for horses.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
R-8 should be up hill only for bikes like spring creek. R-5 should be open to bikes.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
R-08 should not be horse only	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Don't understand, Don't like the horse/hike only R-08 trail. Why not uphill directional like SpringCreek in Cobblestone Zone? Or a parallel trail that is bike only.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
R-05 could be multi-use	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
R-05 should be Bike & Hike	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Ditto. R-05 should be Bike & Hike	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
You have Hike only but no Bike only. New bike trails still say multi use. Need directional trails to prevent collisons.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Steve's Trail is not a hiking-only trail. Bikes are on it a lot. Trails cut through Steve's, etc.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Two quarry should stay as trail. Will be soo expensive and there are alternates.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
R-09 is good.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Dedicated mountain bike trails are what we need !	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?



Comment	Discussion Prompt
bike optimized zones are what we need.This will solve many issues in the park.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
R-6 for bikes is great!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Really excited for the bike optimized zone and support not allowing horses here	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes to all of R-06	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
R-06	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love the R-06 bike zone!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
R-06 is good.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I love the bike optimized area of R-6	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love to R-06 adoption. Thanks!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
On R-06 & R-07 trails, <u>do not</u> allow horses. To many blind areas for accidents.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
The potential of the current trails between Burma and Quarry is large. Slope s/b considered f/-way bike if warranted	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
R-07	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Dedicated walking trail	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Great idea For Road on Two Quarry For Fire	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

### Bennett Zone Recommendations

Comment	Discussion Prompt
Please add ADA Trail here.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
ADA parelleling canyon to the lake	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Add purpose built mtb trails	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
MTB features -Drops -Jumps -Berms	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Any plans for Rangers on site at Annadel for enforcement?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
What about addressing weather condistions when trails shouldn't be used to lower impact?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
No horses on B2	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
It's just been dumb to pretend Hanry's Knob is not there. Put it on the map & monetize it for the park! Should be job! B02-B08	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
There is a non system trail from Viet Veterans Tgrail to the major switchback on Canyon. It should be included as a good alternate to Canyon.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

Comment	Discussion Prompt
There is no future connection to the Geary Ranch parcel. That will happen. Might as well show a future connection.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Please keep Marsh to Ridge connector	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Illegal trails continue to be made. What enforcement is planned. Chopping up a wild park into many miles of trails is not conservation.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
<u>ENFORCEMENT!!!</u> Illegal trails are being built now. Please move <u>SLOWLY</u> to carve up areas for trails.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
At what point to wildlife considerations happen. I have grave reservations about "legalizing" illegal trail. B-03	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Fore every 3 new B-03 why not add an equestrian trail as alternate?	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
At what point to wildlife considerations happen. I have grave reservations about "legalizing" illegal trail. B-03	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Illegal trails continue to be made. What enforcement is planned. Chopping up a wild park into many miles of trails is not conservation.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Henry's Knob sounds phallic. It should be the BOOB.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Call it Mountain Biking not Bicycling	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
There are no mountains in Annadel. It's not "mountain biking."	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
B-06 would rather it not become a road.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Please keep deep gravel to a minimum on roads	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Regarding the Marsh Trail to road conversion. Please keep a natural surface! Thanks!	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Don't turn Marsh Trail into a <u>road</u> .	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
If Marsh becomes a fire road, it should not go through the redwood grove area.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
I do not like the proposed trail to road conversion for Marsh.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Non-system routes and bike opportunities are greatly appreciated.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
+1 for bike zone trails with ranging levels of difficulty	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love the mountain bike zone! These will help w/ user type conflicts.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Strong support for the bike optimized zone and the new trail inclusions proposed	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?



Comment	Discussion Prompt
bike optimized zone will be highly utilized	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
The blue zone to add trails specific to mountain bikes.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes to all the B-03 & B-02. Thanks!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I really like the B-3 idea another great seperation of uses & meeting the desire of the largest user group MTB	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-03 Yes!!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Please do not take any trails from Equestrians mm.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I think there is a good balance for everyone! Bikers are being heard which could help boost park revenue and local economic impact!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Happy to see huge area legalized	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love all the ideas esp B-03, B-04, B-08	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Great additions!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Opening Henry's knob zone will be great.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-08 Yes please!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-09 trail facility improvement - adding a restroom, will be great.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-05 Local access is fantiastic! Prevent driving for locals, open area for biking <3	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes B-05 (Bikes)	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love the idea of incorporating connection with regional Parks.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-02 Yes!!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes to all the B-03 & B-02. Thanks!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-04 yes!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-04 Connector is important	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Excellent adoption of existing trails above ridge & marsh. Please allow for views to the South.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
repairing or realigning Canyon trail is much needed!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Ok to imporve some trails into "Roads" for fire protection!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
B-06	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

### Lawndale Zone Recommendations

Comment	Discussion Prompt
Please do not take horse trails away. we are a minority!	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Prohibit horses on L-2. Their impact is too high.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Paid parking at Lawndale	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?

Comment	Discussion Prompt
Please put up QR codes for paid parking	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Formalize the connection between Lawndale fire road and L-02 trails (760/Woodley/Jasons Upper section)	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Keep the connector along the power lines from L-01 to L-02	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Keep connector trails from Lawndale to L-01 + L-02	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Hire crews to trim back the poison oak, especially on upper Lawndale Trail	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Add water resource and maybe bathroom/vault toilet	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Please add disc golf	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Lawndale trailhead would benefit from more space for vehicles	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Connector trail from Lawndale to Schultz	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Dirt connection between Lawndale and Schultz.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Connect Lawndale to Schultz ! (on trail)	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
A connector from lower Lawndale to Lower Schultz would be great	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Connect Lawndale to Schultz	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Please connect Lawndale to Schultz at the top along the power line	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Trail from Lawndale to Schultz	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Connect L-02 to L-01 to make a loop and add another trail if necessary	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
L-02 connector from Quarry to Lawndale. S/B bike only as existing. Hike only from abrupt turn.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Rhyolite is one of only two hike only trails. Preserve low impact trails.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
The hiking only section of the current Rhyolite trail will be very likely used by bikes	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
The L-01 & L-02 proposed trails exist in a sea of illegal trails. Without enforcement this system is only open to more abuse.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Again enforcement - move slowly - illegal trails are still being made.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Conservation first!	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Please dont take away trail L5 away from horses!	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Keep connector between L-01 and L-02 near the poweline road on Lawndale flat section !	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Please keep the connection from Lawndale to L-02	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?



Comment	Discussion Prompt
The proposed L-02 bike/hike trail down to Woodley is way too steep to be maintainable	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Why are bicycling trails being combined with hiking? These should be seperate.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
The only reason Rhyolite stays clear is because bikes use it. Very few hikers get that far.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
L-03 yes!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes to L-03 trail use designation change!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Very pleased to see L-02 upgrade of Fryman Canyon Trail. How would you keep bikes and dogs off the trail?	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I appreciate the limiting of trails in this zone for natural resource prioritization	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love the added trails!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I supportand L-05 access to Oakmont	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Excnllent regognition of use of access L-05	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Maintaining the trail through Fryman Canyon is a great idea!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Love the connections to the quarry	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I support the L-02 additions	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
L-02 will be a great trail!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes! to L-02 new trails! Not too steep for bikes!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?

### Schultz Zone Recommendations

Comment	Discussion Prompt
Please add disc golf	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Dirt connection between Lawndale and Schultz. Add water resource and maybe bathroom/vault toilet.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Can Schultz trail users be **** when trail is inaccessible due to high water in creek?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Connector trail from Lawndale to Schultz	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
If S-03 continues straight towards boundary, ther is a non-system trail that may be in better shape that the section of ridge that needs rework in marsh direction.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Trail connection between the bottom of Schultz and Lawndale to get off the road	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
Dirt connection between Lawndale and Schultz. Add water resource and maybe bathroom/vault toilet.	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
What happened tot he waterfall trail on Schultz in the draft plan?	What Is Missing From the Recommendations?
S-03 breaks up important contiguous wildlife habitat	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?

Comment	Discussion Prompt
I don't like S-03. This currently illegal trail goes through a sensitive wildlife area. Primarily as a "short cut". Enough with short cutting as a policy.	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
S5 vernal pools are sensitive areas - limit trail to hiker only	What Do You Not Like About the Recommendations?
Parking at Schultz trailhead inside gate + property. Maybe space for 4-6 vehicles on gravel parking.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Agree - it would be great to get more access to the Schultz trailhead	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
S-05 connection to Sonoma Mountain Vernal Pools would be fantastic.	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I like S-05 for better access	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
S-05 - Schultz Zone - yes to access South that could connect in the future to Somona Mountain Regional/Jack London Park for bike access	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
S-05 yes!!!	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I like S5	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes to S-05! This would be a lovely loop for bikes	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
S-03 is a welcome connection	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
S-03 yes please great connector	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
S-03 connector is good	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I like S-03	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes to S3	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Like S-03 idea	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
I like S1	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?
Yes to S1	What Do You Like About the Recommendations?