

PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)

| PROJECT CONCEPT | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| PROJECT TITLE NATURE TRAIL RESTORATION, RE-ROUTE & REPAIR | | PARK UNIT NAME MT SAN JACINTO SP |
| DISTRICT NAME INLAND EMPIRE DISTRICT | | FACILITY NO. |
| PROJECT MANAGER JODI R. WHELCHER | PHONE NO. 951-659-4880 | EMAIL jwhelcher@ymail.com |
| DISTRICT PROJECT MANAGER | PHONE NO. | EMAIL |
| PROJECT BID DATE | CONSTRUCTION START DATE | FUNDING SOURCE |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Identify the scope of the project in detail, including its purpose, location, and potential impacts. If the ground is to be disturbed, describe the depth and extent of excavation. Describe the existing site conditions, including previous development. Note if work will impact or extend beyond park property. Indicate if work will be done in conjunction with, or as part of, other projects. (Use additional pages if necessary.)

A series of storms during September 2013 resulted in severe flooding & damage to the Mt San Jacinto SP/ Idyllwild Nature Trail, which traverses the perimeter of the campground. An approximately 500' section of the trail bed was left eroded, leaving root systems exposed, uneven tread & areas covered in debris. The trail is currently difficult to follow and could be a safety hazard to the public. The trail needs to be realigned, repaired & rebuilt in a way that will better hold up to the seasonal weather conditions. The goal is to have the work completed by a CCC crew under the supervision of the State Wide Trail Crew. All work will be monitored for cultural impacts by the district archaeologist. Best management practices will be followed to prevent any construction material from entering the seasonal drainage. Acceptable erosion control devices will be used and the retired portion of the trail will be refurbished to its natural condition. Rehab will continue by park staff until considered successful by the District Environmental Scientist. The purpose of this project is to repair and restore this trail for the use by the public as an important interpretive tool.

DOCUMENTS ATTACHED

- 7.5 minute (quad) map of project area (**Required**)
- Site Map (**Required** - Scale should show relationship to existing buildings, roads, landscape features, etc.)
- Graphics (Specify - photos, diagrams, drawings, cross-sections, etc.): photos, drawings
- Other (Specify):

REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

| IS AN APPLICATION, PERMIT, OR CONSULTATION REQUIRED? | YES | MAYBE | NO | CONTACT |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Coastal Development Permit | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| DFG Stream Alteration Permit | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| State & Federal Endangered Species Consultation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Corps of Engineers 404 Permit | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| RWQCB or NPDES Permit | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| DPR Right to Enter or Temporary Use Permit | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| PRC 5024 Review | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Americans with Disabilities Act | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Stormwater Management Plan | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Encroachment Permit (Specify Agency): | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other (Specify): | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

COMMENTS: At this time no portion of this nature trail is considered ADA accessible.

PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)

DEPARTMENT POLICY COMPLIANCE

| | YES | NO |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| HAS A GENERAL PLAN BEEN APPROVED FOR THE UNIT? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If YES, is the project consistent with the GP? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If NO, what is the project justification? | | |
| Is it a temporary facility? (No permanent resource commitment) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Health and Safety? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is it a Resource Management Project? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Is it repairing, replacing, or rehabilitating an existing facility? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | |
| IS THE PROJECT WITHIN A CLASSIFIED SUBUNIT? | | |
| Natural Preserve | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Cultural Preserve | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| State Wilderness | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | |
| IS THE PROJECT CONSISTENT WITH THE DEPARTMENT'S CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVES? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | |
| IS THE PROJECT CONSISTENT WITH THE DEPARTMENT'S OPERATIONS MANUAL CHAPTER 0300? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

COMMENTS:

| | | |
|---|-------|------|
| SUPERINTENDENT PROJECT CONCEPT APPROVAL OR DESIGNEE | TITLE | DATE |
|---|-------|------|

RESOURCES

Explain all 'Yes' or 'Maybe' answers in the "Evaluation and Comments" section (reference by letter and number). Attach additional pages, if necessary.

| YES | MAYBE | NO | A. EARTH – WILL THE PROJECT: |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Create unstable soil or geologic conditions? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Adversely affect topographic features? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Adversely affect any unusual or significant geologic features? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Increase wind or water erosion? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5. Adversely affect sand deposition or erosion of a sand beach? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 6. Expose people, property, or facilities to geologic hazards or hazardous waste? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 7. Adversely affect any paleontological resource? |

| YES | MAYBE | NO | B. AIR – WILL THE PROJECT: |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Adversely affect general air quality or climatic patterns? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Introduce airborne pollutants that may affect plant or animal vigor or viability? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Increase levels of dust or smoke? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Adversely affect visibility? |

| YES | MAYBE | NO | C. WATER – WILL THE PROJECT: |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Change or adversely affect movement in marine or fresh waters? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Change or adversely affect drainage patterns or sediment transportation rates? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Adversely affect the quantity or quality of groundwater? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Adversely affect the quantity or quality of surface waters? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5. Expose people or property to flood waters? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 6. Adversely affect existing or potential aquatic habitat(s)? |

PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)

| YES | MAYBE | NO | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | D. PLANT LIFE – WILL THE PROJECT: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Adversely affect any native plant community? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Adversely affect any unique, rare, endangered, or protected plant species? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Introduce a new species of plant to the area? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Adversely affect agricultural production? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 5. Adversely affect the vigor or structure of any tree? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 6. Encourage the growth or spread of alien (non-native) species? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 7. Interfere with established fire management plans or practices? |

| YES | MAYBE | NO | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | E. ANIMAL LIFE – WILL THE PROJECT: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Adversely affect any native or naturalized animal population? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Adversely affect any unusual, rare, endangered, or protected species? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Adversely affect any animal habitat? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Introduce or encourage the proliferation of any non-native species? |

| YES | MAYBE | NO | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | F. CULTURAL RESOURCES – WILL THE PROJECT: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Adversely affect a prehistoric or historic archeological site? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Adversely affect a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Cause an adverse physical or aesthetic effect on an eligible or contributing building, structure, object, or cultural landscape? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Diminish the informational or research potential of a cultural resource? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5. Increase the potential for vandalism or looting? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 6. Disturb any human remains? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 7. Restrict access to a sacred site or inhibit the traditional religious practice of a Native American community? |

| YES | MAYBE | NO | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | G. AESTHETIC RESOURCES – WILL THE PROJECT: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Adversely affect a scenic vista or view? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Significantly increase noise levels? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Adversely affect the quality of the scenic resources in the immediate area or park-wide? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Create a visually offensive site? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5. Be incompatible with the park design established for this unit or diminish the intended sense of “a special park quality” for the visitor? |

| YES | MAYBE | NO | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | H. RECREATIONAL RESOURCES – WILL THE PROJECT: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 1. Be in a public use area? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Have an adverse effect on the quality of the intended visitor experience? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Have an adverse effect on the quality or quantity of existing or future recreational opportunities or facilities? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Have an adverse effect on the accessibility of recreational facilities (e.g., ADA requirements)? |

EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

The re-alignment of this trail will create a more welcoming route to our Historic Indian Grinding Rocks which are a major interpretive destination on this nature trail.

PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)

| ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW <i>To Be Completed by Qualified Specialist(s) ONLY.</i> Attach additional reviews or continuation pages, as necessary. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| ARCHEOLOGIST COMMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Significant Impact <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions, Mitigation <input type="checkbox"/> Potential Impact | |
| The project will require archaeological monitoring, due to the presence of sites RIV-3666H and RIV-416. | |
| SIGNATURE | PRINTED NAME Larrynn Carver |
| TITLE ASA | DATE 9-26-2013 |
| HISTORIAN COMMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Significant Impact <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions, Mitigation <input type="checkbox"/> Potential Impact | |
| The proposed project will rehabilitate a non-contributing, non-historic improvement that will have less than significant impacts to a California and National Register-listed historic district. This is allowable under the Secretary's Standards and Guidelines for the rehabilitation of a historic district. The presence of an archaeological monitor would reduce potential impacts to known or potentially significant historic archaeological resources. | |
| SIGNATURE | PRINTED NAME Alexander D. Bevil |
| TITLE Historian II, So. Service Center | DATE October 2, 2013 |
| RESOURCE ECOLOGIST COMMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Significant Impact <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions, Mitigation <input type="checkbox"/> Potential Impact | |
| This project is not expected to have negative impacts to natural resources as long as the new alignment is inspected and approved by a qualified State Park Environmental Scientist so that the new alignment does not impact any sensitive plants, specifically the population of Lemon Lilies known to occur in the area of the project. | |
| SIGNATURE | PRINTED NAME Kenneth J. Kietzer |
| TITLE SrES | DATE 9/27/2013 |
| MAINTENANCE CHIEF/SUPERVISOR COMMENTS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Significant Impact <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions, Mitigation <input type="checkbox"/> Potential Impact | |
| No significant maintenance impacts | |
| SIGNATURE | PRINTED NAME Lynn Earls-Holliday |
| TITLE DMC III | DATE 10/1/13 |
| OTHER SPECIALIST COMMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> No Significant Impact <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions, Mitigation <input type="checkbox"/> Potential Impact | |
| SIGNATURE | PRINTED NAME |
| TITLE | DATE |
| OTHER COMMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> No Significant Impact <input type="checkbox"/> Conditions, Mitigation <input type="checkbox"/> Potential Impact | |
| SIGNATURE | PRINTED NAME |

PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)

| | |
|-------|------|
| TITLE | DATE |
|-------|------|

ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR REVIEW

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| YES | MAYBE | NO | <u>CUMULATIVE IMPACTS</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. Will the project be conducted in conjunction with or at the same time as other projects at the park? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Will the project be part of a series of inter-related projects? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Are there any other projects that must be completed for any part of this project to become operational? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 4. Are there any other projects (including deferred maintenance) that have been completed or any probable future projects that could contribute to the cumulative impacts of this project? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 5. Are any of the projects that relate to the proposed work outside the General Plan? |

COMMENTS:

RECOMMENDATION:

- Not a project for the purposes of CEQA compliance.
- The project is exempt. A Notice of Exemption should be filed.
- A Negative Declaration should be prepared.
- A Mitigated Negative Declaration should be prepared.
- An EIR should be prepared.

| | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|
| SIGNATURE | PRINTED NAME Larrynn Carver |
| TITLE DEC | DATE October 9, 2013 |

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT REVIEW

COMMENTS:

I acknowledge any constraints placed on the project as a result of the specialists' comments above and recommend the project proceed.

| | | |
|--|-------|------|
| DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT APPROVAL SIGNATURE | TITLE | DATE |
| | | |

PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)




PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)



PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)



PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)



| | | |
|--|---------------|--|
| PROJECT: Idyllwild Nature Trail Repairs | | |
| PARK UNIT: Mt. San Jacinto SP | | |
| DISTRICT: Inland Empire | | |
| PROJECT MANAGER: Jodi Whelchel | Phone: | Email: jodi.whelchel@parks.ca.gov |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION / DEFINE A.P.E. BOUNDARY:

A series of storms during September 2013 resulted in severe flooding & damage to the Mt San Jacinto SP/ Idyllwild Nature Trail, which traverses the perimeter of the campground. An approximately 500' section of the trail bed was left eroded, leaving root systems exposed, uneven tread & areas covered in debris. The trail is currently difficult to follow and could be a safety hazard to the public. The trail needs to be realigned, repaired & rebuilt in a way that will better hold up to the seasonal weather conditions. The goal is to have the work completed by a CCC crew under the supervision of the State Wide Trail Crew. Best management practices will be followed to prevent any construction material from entering the seasonal drainage. Acceptable erosion control devices will be used and the retired portion of the trail will be refurbished to its natural condition. Rehab will continue by park staff until considered successful by the District Environmental Scientist. The purpose of this project is to repair and restore this trail for the use by the public as an important interpretive tool.

Source of Funding/Amount: Not Stated / Not Stated

CULTURAL RESOURCES:

HISTORIC ARCHAEOLOGICAL TRADITIONAL CULTURAL PROPERTY (TCP) NONE
POTENTIALLY PRESENT (i.e. potentially buried resources or survey inconclusive due to inaccessibility)

APE visited by Cultural Resources Staff Yes No

Name: Larrynn Carver

Date: multiple

Methods of Inventory:

Records Review Site History Research Field Survey Subsurface Testing Other

Explain Findings (list references including 523s, 649s, 750s, reports, maps, etc.):

Project is located within the Mt. San Jacinto State Park National Register District. Two archaeological sites are additionally located within or adjacent to the project APE; Riv-416 and Riv-3666H.

NEGATIVE SURVEY DETERMINATION:

NO EFFECT: No Historical Resources Present

[If no cultural resources are present, or potentially present within the project APE, no further documentation is required. Proceed to review Section VII. APPROVAL AND CERTIFICATION for signature and attach a negative DPR 649 (survey report)]

RECOMMENDED PROJECT MODIFICATIONS, TREATMENTS, OR CONDITIONS (from Section VII.):

HISTORIC: archaeological monitoring is recommended for the project.

PREHISTORIC:

I. EXISTING CONDITIONS/RESOURCE STATUS - Attach appropriate documentation (DPR 523 forms, etc.):

A. Resources within APE: [Site Number(s)/Description(s)/Date of Latest Recordation Form(s)/Additional Documentation (reports, studies, etc)]:

Riv-416: This site, updated in 2012, is described as a small aboriginal campsite containing five granite outcrops with bedrock mortars and milling slicks. Three of these outcrops, Features 1-3, are located adjacent to campsite 6 in the Idyllwild Campground. Though no artifacts are present on the surface, and none were noted in 1988, trenching in the campground has uncovered three manos in the vicinity of this site. Two were located between 60-90 cmbs in campsite

7, approximately 54 meters west of feature 1. Another was found in the backdirt of a trench nearby. Site is potentially eligible to the National and State Registers, under criteria A and D.

Riv-3666H- The site consists of a historic trash deposit and scatter. Artifacts observed during 1999 field check include white-glazed earthenware fragments, brown-glazed brown-ceramic fragments, crockery fragments, brick fragments, porcelain fragments, purple glass fragments (including a 5/8-inch thick bottle handle ring with a 7/8-inch diameter finger hole), clear glass fragments, wire nails, and metal fragments. Original site form (Sampson 1989) and Artifact Analysis Report: Analysis of Artifacts Recovered from a Historic Dumpsite at the Idyllwild Campground, Mt. San Jacinto State Park, California (Farnsworth & Farnsworth 1989) list additional artifacts recovered from the northern portion of the site including bottles, jars, glass fragments, dishes, ceramic fragments, cow bone, metal fragments, etc. (see original site form and Artifact Analysis Report for details). Site is potentially eligible to the National and State Registers under criteria A and D.

B. Newly identified resources recorded or updated previous records?: Yes No
Explain/List:

II. ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION(S) (use continuation page [separate file] for additional resources identified):

A. Resource Evaluation and Significance (If resource is nominated or listed, do NOT fill out section IIB below. Attach appropriate recordation forms to review package. If not, move to section IIB below).

Resource Name / Site Number:

Resource Type is: Individual Building/Structure Archaeological Site(s) Historic Site(s) Landscape District Historic District Archaeological District TCP National Historic Landmark Cultural Preserve Historic Object Other (e.g., Isolate, California Landmark, Point of Historic Interest, etc.):

Nominated for or Listed on: California Register: National Register:
(If Nominated: Eligibility Concurrence status by OHP: Yes No In process)

B. Site/Structure Eligibility Determination (for newly recorded, non-nominated or listed resources):
Not Eligible

Explain (include documentation of negative DOE):

Potentially Eligible

Criteria: A – Events B – People C—Design D—Information

Significance Statement:

Integrity Discussion:

III. DPR POLICY COMPLIANCE

A. Is project consistent with General Plan?: Yes No GP date: 2000

B. If no General Plan, is project scope consistent with current resource use?: Yes No

C. Is project consistent with Cultural Resource Management Directives?: Yes No

Comments: The California State Public Resources Code (PRC) 5024, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15064.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act, the California State Department of Park & Recreation (DPR)'s Resource Management Directives numbers 54 and 58, as well as an operational Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the State Office of Historic Preservation (SHPO) and DPR mandate the preservation and protection historic resources in State Parks from damaging or degrading influences, including deterioration or adverse modification of their environments. Furthermore, DPR has a policy to take steps to preserve, protect, and/or restore, as well as interpret these resources.

IV. IMPACT ASSESSMENT

A. Historic Resources

Historic Facility Name(s):

Will the proposed project impact historic resources? Yes No

Describe impacts or non-impacts and provide Comments:.

Is proposed project consistent with Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines?: Yes No

Explain:

B. Archaeological Resources

Site Number(s): Riv-416, Riv-3666H

Archaeological Site Type: Historic Prehistoric Unknown

Will the proposed project impact archaeological resources? Yes No

Describe impacts or non-impacts and provide Comments: Project could potentially uncover subsurface components.

Is proposed project consistent with Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines in relation to archaeological resources?: Yes No

Explain:

V. TREATMENTS AND MITIGATION

A. Would project redesign lessen resource impacts?: Yes No

Explain:

B. Are appropriate treatment measures included within project scope?: Yes No

Explain: Archaeological monitoring is incorporated into the project.

C. Does treatment involve salvaging historic fabric or excavating archaeological deposits?: Yes No

If yes, has a recordation program or archaeological treatment plan been approved by a senior-level CRS? Yes

No

Explain:

D. In order to bring the project into compliance with the Secretary of the Interiors Standards, the project should proceed with the following modifications or special provisions (Identify specific treatment measures):

VI. DETERMINATION

A. Is documentation sufficient for Determination of Effect?: Yes No

If no, check below:

NO DETERMINATION OF EFFECT CURRENTLY POSSIBLE

Explain:

If Yes: the reviewer has sufficient documentation to determine that the Proposed Project will have:

No Effect: No Historical Resources Present (See Section)

No Effect: No Historical Resources Affected

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

on the Historical or Archaeological Resources of the State Park System.

Explain:

Has a Secondary Review of this DOE been completed by a Cultural Resource Specialist?: Yes No

VII. APPROVAL AND CERTIFICATION

(APPROVAL OF THIS PROJECT IS CONTINGENT ON PROJECT SCOPE NOT BEING CHANGED FROM ABOVE DESCRIPTION. IF SCOPE IS CHANGED, PROJECT MANAGER MUST CONTACT CULTURAL RESOURCE REVIEWER(S) FOR POTENTIAL REVIEW.)

Primary Reviews:

Historical Review

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Summarize project modifications/treatments/conditions:

Historical Reviewer:

Date:

Signature: _____

Title: Historian II, Southern Service Center

Phone #:

Hours Spent on Evaluation:

Archaeological Review

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Summarize project modifications/treatments/conditions:

Project will be monitored for archaeological impacts.

Archaeological Reviewer: Larrynn Carver

Date: September 26, 2013

Signature: 

Title: ASA

Phone #: 951-453-4139

email: Larrynn.Carver@parks.ca.gov

Hours Spent on Evaluation: 2

Restoration Architect Review

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Summarize project modifications/treatments/conditions:

Architectural Reviewer:

Date:

Signature: _____

Title:

Phone #:

Hours Spent on Evaluation:

Secondary Review:

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Explain:

Project Manager:

I understand that this project as proposed or modified may affect historical or archaeological resources. I will insure that all treatment measures necessary for the project to conform with Historic Preservation standards and professional guidelines will be carried out as specified above. If project scope is changed, I will contact cultural resource reviewer(s) for potential re-review.

Project Manager:

Title:

Phone #:

Date:

FAX #:

*Note: All review packages must include a project map and appropriate documentation. For archaeological surveys, attach new/updated DPR 649 (or equivalent) with coverage map and site records. For historic structures, attach new/updated DPR 523 or 750. For archaeological sites, attach new/updated DPR 523. **For previously recorded site or structure forms, previous study reports, etc. list under "Explain Findings" on pg. 1.*

ATTACHMENTS: project map DPR 649 (with map & site forms) DPR 523 DPR 750 Other: _____

Project #: 13/14-IE-5
ER Log #: 10637
PCA Log #:

California Department of Parks and Recreation
Historical Review Archaeological Review Both
Project Evaluation
(P.R.C. 5024, 5024.5 and E.O. W-26-92)

PROJECT: Camp Host Site Fence, Idyllwild Campground

PARK UNIT: Mt. San Jacinto

DISTRICT: Inland Empire

Project Manager: Jodi Whelchel

Date: 1 August 2012

Contact Phone #: 951-659-4880

FAX #:

Email: jodi.whelchel @parks.ca.gov

District Project Manager: Same

Phone:

Email:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION / DEFINE A.P.E. BOUNDARY:

A series of storms during September 2013 resulted in severe flooding & damage to the Mt San Jacinto SP/ Idyllwild Nature Trail, which traverses the perimeter of the campground. An approximately 500' section of the trail bed was left eroded, leaving root systems exposed, uneven tread & areas covered in debris. The trail is currently difficult to follow and could be a safety hazard to the public. The trail needs to be realigned, repaired & rebuilt in a way that will better hold up to the seasonal weather conditions. The goal is to have the work completed by a CCC crew under the supervision of the State Wide Trail Crew. The District Archaeologist will monitor all work for cultural impacts. Best management practices will be followed to prevent any construction material from entering the seasonal drainage. Acceptable erosion control devices will be used and the retired portion of the trail will be refurbished to its natural condition. Rehab will continue by park staff until considered successful by the District Environmental Scientist. The purpose of this project is to repair and restore this trail for the use by the public as an important interpretive tool.

Source of Funding/Amount: Not Stated / Not Stated

CULTURAL RESOURCES:

HISTORIC ARCHAEOLOGICAL TRADITIONAL CULTURAL PROPERTY (TCP) NONE

POTENTIALLY PRESENT (i.e. potentially buried resources or survey inconclusive due to inaccessibility)

APE visited by Cultural Resources Staff Yes No

Name: Larrynn Carver

Date: multiple

Methods of Inventory:

Records Review Site History Research Field Survey Subsurface Testing Other

Explain Findings (list references including 523s, 649s, 750s, reports, maps, etc.):

Project is located within the Mt. San Jacinto State Park National Register Historic District. The trail is a non-contributing landscape feature within the historic campground's outer perimeter. As a result, the proposed project would have a less than significant effect on any historic landscape features associated with either the Civilian Conservation Corps or CA State Parks within its APE. However, the Reviewing Archaeologist reports the presence of historic trash deposits within the APE. The description (see below) would suggest it passes through a historic dump site associated with the former Idyllwild Inn (nee Sanatorium), which deeded the property to California State Parks in 1936. Because of the existence of historic artifacts (as per the Reviewing Archaeologist's conditions and recommendations), an archaeological monitor will be present during any excavation or grading work. This would reduce impacts to known or potentially significant historic archaeological resources to acceptable levels.

NEGATIVE SURVEY DETERMINATION:

NO EFFECT: No Historical Resources Present

[If no cultural resources are present, or potentially present within the project APE, no further documentation is required. Proceed to review Section VII. APPROVAL AND CERTIFICATION for signature and attach a negative DPR 649 (survey report)]

RECOMMENDED PROJECT MODIFICATIONS, TREATMENTS, OR CONDITIONS (from Section VII.):

HISTORIC:

PREHISTORIC:

I. EXISTING CONDITIONS/RESOURCE STATUS - Attach appropriate documentation (DPR 523 forms, etc.):**A. Resources within APE:** [Site Number(s)/Description(s)/Date of Latest Recordation Form(s)/Additional Documentation (reports, studies, etc.)]:

Riv-3666H- The site consists of a historic trash deposit and scatter. Artifacts observed during 1999 field check include white-glazed earthenware fragments, brown-glazed brown-ceramic fragments, crockery fragments, brick fragments, porcelain fragments, purple glass fragments (including a 5/8-inch thick bottle handle ring with a 7/8-inch diameter finger hole), clear glass fragments, wire nails, and metal fragments. Original site form (Sampson 1989) and Artifact Analysis Report: Analysis of Artifacts Recovered from a Historic Dumpsite at the Idyllwild Campground, Mt. San Jacinto State Park, California (Farnsworth & Farnsworth 1989) list additional artifacts recovered from the northern portion of the site including bottles, jars, glass fragments, dishes, ceramic fragments, cow bone, metal fragments, etc. (see original site form and Artifact Analysis Report for details). Site is potentially eligible to the National and State Registers under criteria A and D.

Mount San Jacinto State Park Historic District

The historic district is located within two separate and distinct areas of Mount San Jacinto State Park: the Lower Idyllwild and Upper Mountain Sections. The Proposed Project's APE is located within the former, which contains the Park Headquarters and Idyllwild Campground areas contained entirely within a 13.1-acre section of CA State Parks-owned property. Situated in the northwestern outskirts of the unincorporated mountain community of Idyllwild, California, at an elevation of some 5,390 feet above mean sea level, the Lower Idyllwild Section is bounded by State Highway 243 along its north, east, and southeastern perimeters. A smaller adjacent parcel of Riverside County-owned land containing an equipment storage yard and operating facilities forms its western and southwestern perimeters. Shaded by tall conifer trees, the Lower Idyllwild section contains the largest concentration of the district's contributing 1936 to 1965 Park Rustic style historic resources.

Sources:

Bevil, Alexander, Historian II. California Department of Parks and Recreation. Southern Service Center. *Mount San Jacinto State Park Historic District*. National Register of Historic Places Nomination, February 13, 2013.

Mealey, Marla and Roy Pettus, Associate Archaeologists. California Department of Parks and Recreation. Southern Service Center. *Historic Dump [at Idyllwild Campground]*, August 4, 1999.

Roland-Nawi, Carol. California State Historic Preservation Officer. Letter to Alexander D. Bevil, July 5, 2013.

B. Newly identified resources recorded or updated previous records?: Yes No **Explain/List:****II. ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION(S) (use continuation page [separate file] for additional resources identified):****A. Resource Evaluation and Significance (If resource is nominated or listed, do NOT fill out section IIB below. Attach appropriate recordation forms to review package. If not, move to section IIB below).****Resource Name / Site Number:** *Mount San Jacinto State Park Historic District*

Resource Type is: Individual Building/Structure Archaeological Site(s) Historic Site(s) Landscape District
 Historic District Archaeological District TCP National Historic Landmark Cultural Preserve
 Historic Object Other (e.g., Isolate, California Landmark, Point of Historic Interest, etc.):

Nominated for **or Listed** **on:** **California Register:** **National Register:**

(If Nominated: Eligibility Concurrence status by OHP: Yes No In process)

B. Site/Structure Eligibility Determination (for newly recorded, non-nominated or listed resources):Not Eligible **Explain (include documentation of negative DOE):**Potentially Eligible

Criteria: A – Events B – People C—Design D—Information

Significance Statement:**Integrity Discussion:**

III. DPR POLICY COMPLIANCE

A. Is project consistent with General Plan?: Yes No GP date: 2000

B. If no General Plan, is project scope consistent with current resource use?: Yes No

C. Is project consistent with Cultural Resource Management Directives?: Yes No

Comments: The California State Public Resources Code (PRC) 5024, Title 14, Chapter 3, Section 15064.5 of the California Environmental Quality Act, the California State Department of Park & Recreation (DPR)'s Resource Management Directives numbers 54 and 58, as well as an operational Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the State Office of Historic Preservation (SHPO) and DPR mandate the preservation and protection historic resources in State Parks from damaging or degrading influences, including deterioration or adverse modification of their environments. Furthermore, DPR has a policy to take steps to preserve, protect, and/or restore, as well as interpret these resources.

The proposed project will rehabilitate a non-contributing, non-historic improvement that will have less than significant impacts to a California and National Register-listed historic district.

Because of the existence of historic artifacts (as per the Reviewing Archaeologist's conditions and recommendations), an archaeological monitor will be present during any excavation or grading work. This would reduce impacts to known or potentially significant historic archaeological resources to acceptable levels.

IV. IMPACT ASSESSMENT**A. Historic Resources**

Historic Facility Name(s):

Will the proposed project impact historic resources? Yes No

Describe impacts or non-impacts and provide Comments: The proposed project may disturb known or potentially significant historic archaeological resources. However, the presence of an archaeological monitor would reduce impacts to acceptable levels.

Is proposed project consistent with Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines?: Yes No

Explain: The proposed project will rehabilitate a non-contributing, non-historic improvement that will have less than significant impacts to a California and National Register-listed historic district. This is allowable under the Secretary's Standards and Guidelines for the rehabilitation of a historic district.

Please see Reviewing Archaeologist's conditions and recommendations regarding historic archaeological resources within the proposed project's APE.

B. Archaeological Resources

Site Number(s):

Archaeological Site Type: Historic Prehistoric Unknown

Will the proposed project impact archaeological resources? Yes No

Describe impacts or non-impacts and provide Comments: According to the Reviewing Archeologist, the proposed project could potentially uncover subsurface historic components.

Is proposed project consistent with Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines in relation to archaeological resources?:

Yes No

Explain: Please see Reviewing Archaeologist's conditions and recommendations regarding historic archaeological resources within the proposed project's APE.

V. TREATMENTS AND MITIGATION

A. Would project redesign lessen resource impacts?: Yes No

Explain:

B. Are appropriate treatment measures included within project scope?: Yes No

Explain: The presence of an archaeological monitor during any excavation or grading is an appropriate treatment.

C. Does treatment involve salvaging historic fabric or excavating archaeological deposits?: Yes No

If yes, has a recordation program or archaeological treatment plan been approved by a senior-level CRS? Yes No

Explain:

D. In order to bring the project into compliance with the Secretary of the Interiors Standards, the project should proceed with the following modifications or special provisions (Identify specific treatment measures): None required at this time.

VI. DETERMINATION

A. Is documentation sufficient for Determination of Effect?: Yes No

If no, check below:

NO DETERMINATION OF EFFECT CURRENTLY POSSIBLE

Explain:

If Yes: the reviewer has sufficient documentation to determine that the Proposed Project will have:

- No Effect: No Historical Resources Present (See Section)
- No Effect: No Historical Resources Affected
- No Adverse Effect
- Adverse Effect

on the Historical or Archaeological Resources of the State Park System.

Explain: The presence of an archaeological monitor during any excavation or grading is an appropriate treatment.

Has a Secondary Review of this DOE been completed by a Cultural Resource Specialist?: Yes No

VII. APPROVAL AND CERTIFICATION

(APPROVAL OF THIS PROJECT IS CONTINGENT ON PROJECT SCOPE NOT BEING CHANGED FROM ABOVE DESCRIPTION. IF SCOPE IS CHANGED, PROJECT MANAGER MUST CONTACT CULTURAL RESOURCE REVIEWER(S) FOR POTENTIAL REVIEW.)

Primary Reviews:

Historical Review

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Summarize project modifications/treatments/conditions:

Historical Reviewer: Alexander D. Bevil

Date: October 2, 2013

Signature:



Title: Historian II, Southern Service Center

Phone #: 619-221-7041

Hours Spent on Evaluation: 3

Archaeological Review

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Summarize project modifications/treatments/conditions:

Archaeological Reviewer:

Date:

Signature:

Title:

Phone #:

email:

Hours Spent on Evaluation:

Restoration Architect Review

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Summarize project modifications/treatments/conditions:

Project #: 13/14-IE-5
ER Log #: 10637
PCA Log #:

Architectural Reviewer:

Date:

Signature: _____

Title: _____ Phone #: _____

Hours Spent on Evaluation: _____

Secondary Review:

I recommend this project be Approved Not Approved Approved Conditionally

Explain:

Secondary Reviewer:

Signature: _____

Title: _____ Phone #: _____

Comments:

Project Manager:

I understand that this project as proposed or modified may affect historical or archaeological resources. I will insure that all treatment measures necessary for the project to conform with Historic Preservation standards and professional guidelines will be carried out as specified above. If project scope is changed, I will contact cultural resource reviewer(s) for potential re-review.

Project Manager:

Title: _____ Phone #: _____

Date: _____ FAX #: _____

*Note: All review packages must include a project map and appropriate documentation. For archaeological surveys, attach new/updated DPR 649 (or equivalent) with coverage map and site records. For historic structures, attach new/updated DPR 523 or 750. For archaeological sites, attach new/updated DPR 523. **For previously recorded site or structure forms, previous study reports, etc. list under "Explain Findings" on pg. 1.*

ATTACHMENTS: project map DPR 649 (with map & site forms) DPR 523 DPR 750 Other: _____



CEQA PROJECT COMPLETION VERIFICATION

TO: PM: Jodi R. Whelchel
District PM:

DATE: October 7, 2013

FROM: Larrynn Carver, District Environmental Coordinator (DEC) (951-453-4139)
Mike Yengling, Service Center Environmental Coordinator (SCEC) (619-221-7081)

PROJECT TITLE: Idyllwild Nature Trail Re-route & Repair (13/14-IE-05)

PARK UNIT: Mount San Jacinto SP

DISTRICT: Inland Empire

This project is approved to proceed with the following measures incorporated and/or comments considered:

Biological Resources (Kenneth Kietzer: 951-443-2407)

1. This project is not expected to have negative impacts to natural resources as long as the new alignment is inspected and approved by a qualified State Park Environmental Scientist so that the new alignment does not impact any sensitive plants, specifically the population of Lemon Lilies known to occur in the area of the project.

Water Quality Protection Measures

1. None

Archaeological Resource Protection Measures (Larrynn Carver: 951-453-4139)

1. The project will require archaeological monitoring.

Historical Resources Comments/Measures (Alex Bevil: 619-221-7041)

1. None.

As the Project Manager, you are responsible for ensuring that all project requirements, restrictions, or mitigations are adhered to. This includes reviewing all comments, briefing any staff and contractors who may work on the project, and coordinating the on-site presence of specialist staff, if required.

You are also responsible for verifying project completion. When the project is complete, please sign and date this form and return it to the DEC. Please note any problems or comments you may have concerning the project. If the project is cancelled or postponed for a significant period of time, please inform the DEC *as soon as possible*.

PROJECT MANAGER CERTIFICATION

I certify that this project has been completed in compliance with the above conditions.

PROJECT MANAGER SIGNATURE

DATE

COMMENTS: