# PROJECT EVALUATION (PEF)

## PROJECT CONCEPT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT TITLE</th>
<th>PARK UNIT NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DISTRICT NAME</td>
<td>FACILITY NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT MANAGER</td>
<td>PHONE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISTRICT PROJECT MANAGER</td>
<td>PHONE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT BID DATE</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION START DATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Identify the scope of the project in detail, including its purpose, location, and potential impacts. If the ground is to be disturbed, describe the depth and extent of excavation. Describe the existing site conditions, including previous development. Note if work will impact or extend beyond park property. Indicate if work will be done in conjunction with, or as part of, other projects. *(Use additional pages if necessary.)*

## DOCUMENTS ATTACHED

- 7.5 minute (quad) map of project area *(Required)*
- Site Map *(Required - Scale should show relationship to existing buildings, roads, landscape features, etc.)*
- Graphics *(Specify - photos, diagrams, drawings, cross-sections, etc.)*:
- Other *(Specify)*:

## REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IS AN APPLICATION, PERMIT, OR CONSULTATION REQUIRED?</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>CONTACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Development Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFG Stream Alteration Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State &amp; Federal Endangered Species Consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corps of Engineers 404 Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWQCB or NPDES Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPR Right to Enter or Temporary Use Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC 5024 Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americans with Disabilities Act</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encroachment Permit <em>(Specify Agency)</em>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other <em>(Specify)</em>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMMENTS:
## DEPARTMENT POLICY COMPLIANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HAS A GENERAL PLAN BEEN APPROVED FOR THE UNIT?**

- **If YES, is the project consistent with the GP?**
  - [ ]

- **If NO, what is the project justification?**
  - Is it a temporary facility? (No permanent resource commitment)
    - [ ]
  - Health and Safety?
    - [ ]
  - Is it a Resource Management Project?
    - [ ]
  - Is it repairing, replacing, or rehabilitating an existing facility?
    - [ ]

**IS THE PROJECT WITHIN A CLASSIFIED SUBUNIT?**

- Natural Preserve
  - [ ]

- Cultural Preserve
  - [ ]

- State Wilderness
  - [ ]

**IS THE PROJECT CONSISTENT WITH THE DEPARTMENT’S CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVES?**

- [ ]

**IS THE PROJECT CONSISTENT WITH THE DEPARTMENT’S OPERATIONS MANUAL CHAPTER 0300?**

- [ ]

**COMMENTS:**

---

## RESOURCES

*Explain all ‘Yes’ or ‘Maybe’ answers in the "Evaluation and Comments" section (reference by letter and number). Attach additional pages, if necessary.*

### A. EARTH – WILL THE PROJECT:

1. Create unstable soil or geologic conditions?
   - [ ]

2. Adversely affect topographic features?
   - [ ]

3. Adversely affect any unusual or significant geologic features?
   - [ ]

4. Increase wind or water erosion?
   - [ ]

5. Adversely affect sand deposition or erosion of a sand beach?
   - [ ]

6. Expose people, property, or facilities to geologic hazards or hazardous waste?
   - [ ]

7. Adversely affect any paleontological resource?
   - [ ]

---

### B. AIR – WILL THE PROJECT:

1. Adversely affect general air quality or climatic patterns?
   - [ ]

2. Introduce airborne pollutants that may affect plant or animal vigor or viability?
   - [ ]

3. Increase levels of dust or smoke?
   - [ ]

4. Adversely affect visibility?
   - [ ]

---

### C. WATER – WILL THE PROJECT:

1. Change or adversely affect movement in marine or fresh waters?
   - [ ]

2. Change or adversely affect drainage patterns or sediment transportation rates?
   - [ ]

3. Adversely affect the quantity or quality of groundwater?
   - [ ]

4. Adversely affect the quantity or quality of surface waters?
   - [ ]

5. Expose people or property to flood waters?
   - [ ]

6. Adversely affect existing or potential aquatic habitat(s)?
   - [ ]
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D. PLANT LIFE – WILL THE PROJECT:
1. Adversely affect any native plant community?
2. Adversely affect any unique, rare, endangered, or protected plant species?
3. Introduce a new species of plant to the area?
4. Adversely affect agricultural production?
5. Adversely affect the vigor or structure of any tree?
6. Encourage the growth or spread of alien (non-native) species?
7. Interfere with established fire management plans or practices?

E. ANIMAL LIFE – WILL THE PROJECT:
1. Adversely affect any native or naturalized animal population?
2. Adversely affect any unusual, rare, endangered, or protected species?
3. Adversely affect any animal habitat?
4. Introduce or encourage the proliferation of any non-native species?

F. CULTURAL RESOURCES – WILL THE PROJECT:
1. Adversely affect a prehistoric or historic archeological site?
2. Adversely affect a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object?
3. Cause an adverse physical or aesthetic effect on an eligible or contributing building, structure, object, or cultural landscape?
4. Diminish the informational or research potential of a cultural resource?
5. Increase the potential for vandalism or looting?
6. Disturb any human remains?
7. Restrict access to a sacred site or inhibit the traditional religious practice of a Native American community?

G. AESTHETIC RESOURCES – WILL THE PROJECT:
1. Adversely affect a scenic vista or view?
2. Significantly increase noise levels?
3. Adversely affect the quality of the scenic resources in the immediate area or park-wide?
4. Create a visually offensive site?
5. Be incompatible with the park design established for this unit or diminish the intended sense of “a special park quality” for the visitor?

H. RECREATIONAL RESOURCES – WILL THE PROJECT:
1. Be in a public use area?
2. Have an adverse effect on the quality of the intended visitor experience?
3. Have an adverse effect on the quality or quantity of existing or future recreational opportunities or facilities?
4. Have an adverse effect on the accessibility of recreational facilities (e.g., ADA requirements)?

EVALUATION AND COMMENTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Impact Options</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Printed Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARCHEOLOGIST COMMENTS</td>
<td>No Significant Impact, Conditions, Mitigation, Potential Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISTORIAN COMMENTS</td>
<td>No Significant Impact, Conditions, Mitigation, Potential Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE ECOLOGIST COMMENTS</td>
<td>No Significant Impact, Conditions, Mitigation, Potential Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAINTENANCE CHIEF/SUPERVISOR COMMENTS</td>
<td>No Significant Impact, Conditions, Mitigation, Potential Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SPECIALIST COMMENTS</td>
<td>No Significant Impact, Conditions, Mitigation, Potential Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER COMMENTS</td>
<td>No Significant Impact, Conditions, Mitigation, Potential Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

1. Will the project be conducted in conjunction with or at the same time as other projects at the park?
2. Will the project be part of a series of inter-related projects?
3. Are there any other projects that must be completed for any part of this project to become operational?
4. Are there any other projects (including deferred maintenance) that have been completed or any probable future projects that could contribute to the cumulative impacts of this project?
5. Are any of the projects that relate to the proposed work outside the General Plan?

COMMENTS:

RECOMMENDATION:
- [ ] Not a project for the purposes of CEQA compliance.
- [ ] The project is exempt. A Notice of Exemption should be filed.
- [ ] A Negative Declaration should be prepared.
- [ ] A Mitigated Negative Declaration should be prepared.
- [ ] An EIR should be prepared.

SIGNATURE    PRINTED NAME

TITLE    DATE

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT REVIEW

COMMENTS:

I acknowledge any constraints placed on the project as a result of the specialists’ comments above and recommend the project proceed.

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT APPROVAL SIGNATURE    TITLE    DATE