Agency Information
(Carefully read the instructions before completing this form)

1. Agency Information
   a. Agency Name: USFS - Angeles National Forest
   b. Organizational Unit: 701 N Santa Anita
   c. Address: Arcadia, CA 91006-2799
   d. City: Arcadia
   e. State: CA
   f. Zip: 91006-2799
   g. Federal Id Number: 66-1296971
   h. DUNS Number: 66-1296971
   i. Agency fiscal year (beginning month and day): October-01
   j. Agency Type (Please check one):
      - City
      - U.S. Forest Service - Patrol District
      - U.S. Bureau of Land Management
      - Federally Recognized Native American Tribe
      - Educational Institution
      - Nonprofit Organization - 501(c)(3) status only
      - State Agency
      - District

2. Project Information
   a. Project Name: General Application Requirements
   b. Is implementing agency same as Agency (Please select Yes or No): Yes
   c. Implementing Agency Name: 701 N Santa Anita
   d. Amount of Funds Requested: Project Cost

Project Request(s) Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Grant Request</th>
<th>Match</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>G08-02-01-R01</td>
<td>Restoration-Lopez</td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>47,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>G08-02-01-R03</td>
<td>Restoration-Marek</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>G08-02-01-R05</td>
<td>Restoration-Sawmill</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Contact**

a. **Authorized Representative**
   
   **Name**: Martin Dumpis  
   **Title**: Forest Supervisor  
   **Mailing Address**: 701 N. Santa Anita Ave.  
   **City**: Arcadia  
   **State**: CA  
   **Zip**: 91006  
   **Telephone**: (626) 574-5276  
   **Fax**: (626) 574-5207  
   **E-mail Address**: mdumpis@fs.fed.us

b. **Project Administrator**
   
   **Name**: Raymond Kidd  
   **Title**: Project Coordinator  
   **Mailing Address**: 28245 Ave Crocker #220  
   **City**: Santa Clarita  
   **State**: CA  
   **Zip**: 91355  
   **Telephone**: (661) 296-9710 - 229  
   **Fax**: (661) 295-5829 - 229  
   **E-mail Address**: rkidd@fs.fed.us
A. Location Map

Attachments: Map
A. Equipment Inventory

Has your agency purchased any Equipment with OHV Trust Funds within the last five (5) years? (Please select Yes or No)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Make</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Model Year</th>
<th>Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) or Serial Number</th>
<th>Project Agreement Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yes ☐ No ☐
PART 1 - ITEM 1. DETERMINE THE NEED FOR FULL FULL HABITAT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (HMP)

All Applicants submitting Projects involving Ground Disturbing Activities are subject to HMP requirements. The HMP must cover the combined Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities.

Applicants able to certify that none of the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit only HMP Part 1. Applicants who cannot certify that the proposed activities listed in the Application in areas open to legal OHV Recreation do not contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats shall submit HMP Parts 1 and 2.

1. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No)
   - Yes
   - No

2. Can the Applicant certify that none of the proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities in areas open to legal OHV Recreation contain any risk factors to special-status species and/or sensitive habitats? (If you checked ‘Yes’, you are done with HMP) (Please select Yes or No)
   - Yes
   - No

PART 2 - RISK ANALYSIS, MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND REPORTING

PART 2 - Section I. Summary of HMP Changes

Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area? (Please select Yes or No)

Yes
No

Table 1 - Summary of HMP Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes from Previous Year</th>
<th>Section Where Change Occurs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None. HMP covers entire forest. Project areas (OHV areas) are a subset of previously submitted HMP.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 2 - Section II - Special Status Species

Table 2 - Table of All Special-Status Species and Any Other Species of Local Concern That Were Considered for Inclusion in the HMP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Listing Status</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Potential for Occurrence</th>
<th>Addressed by HMP? If not explain why?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

PART 2 - Section III - Map(s) of Project Area

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 3

Table 3 - Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats
PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 4

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species/Habitat</th>
<th>Known Information</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Concerns / Risks / Uncertainties</th>
<th>Management Objective(s)</th>
<th>Management Action(s)</th>
<th>Success Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

PART 2 - Section IV. - Management/Monitoring Program by Species and Sensitive Habitat - Table 5

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year’s Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year’s Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 6

Table 6: Previous Year’s Monitoring Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Accomplishments</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no 2008 monitoring completed, 2007 monitoring as below.</td>
<td>The OHV areas were closed 10/2007 to present</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Southwest Region OHV/OSV, Wildlife, and Plant Monitoring (for details on methodology, see pages 18-41 of the Monitoring Plan on file with the OHMVR Division).</td>
<td>In 2007, data was analyzed from Regional programmatic monitoring performed in association with the Vertebrate Assemblage Focused Study. Data was collected at randomly selected OHV Use and Non-Use Sites include: habitat condition (including plant damage and noxious weeds); occurrence and status of wildlife &amp; plant species (including special status plants, small mammals, landbirds, owls, accipiters, carnivores, amphibians, reptiles, and other vertebrates) and human use, including OHV use by type and intensity.</td>
<td>Data analyzed to date infer that success criteria have been achieved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Vertebrate Assemblage Focused Study (for details on methodology, see the Study plan on file with the OHMVR Division).</td>
<td>With 3 years of data collected at Lake Tahoe Basin, and Eldorado NF, and Stanislaus NF, analysis began in 2007 for vertebrate predators, prey species and OHV sound levels. Preliminary results indicate a mix of findings: OHV use was primarily on weekends and was not highly correlated with road density; overall bird species richness, abundance, and dominance were not associated with road density or use, although 3 species declined with motorized use: blue grouse, Williamson’s sapsucker, white-headed woodpecker; great horned owl was twice as frequent at motorized owl sites; small mammal species richness declined as motorized use increased, species that declined: long-tailed vole, Trowbridge’s shrew, lodgepole chipmunk, and golden-mantled ground squirrel; diversity of owls and small mammals peaked at low road densities; the rarely detected weasels and bobcat were only at low road densities and low motorized use.</td>
<td>Data analysis began in 2007. Results show that success criteria have been achieved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rowher Flats OHV Area annual monitoring of the short joint beavertail cactus and San Diego horned lizard.

In 2007, annual monitoring of trail use in known occupied areas for the short joint beavertail cactus and the San Diego horned lizard was completed. The cactus is found on slopes adjacent to trails in the OHV area. Monitoring resulted in no signs of disturbance to cacti due to unauthorized trails or trespass on areas where the cactus occurs. Horned lizards are often seen throughout the OHV area basking on trails during morning hours. Monitoring objectives and success criteria are being met.

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year’s Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 7

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Actions</th>
<th>Species/ Habitat</th>
<th>Date Completed or Planned - mm/dd/yyyy</th>
<th>Changes Needed to HMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue monitoring and rehabilitate and restore as needed</td>
<td>All Species</td>
<td>12/31/2009</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 2 - Section V. - Previous Year’s Monitoring Results and Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results - Table 8

Table 8 Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern Raised by Public</th>
<th>Actions Taken to Address the Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. **Soil Conservation**
   a. Do any of your proposed projects involve Ground Disturbing Activities? (Please select Yes or No)  
      ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. **Soil Conservation Plan**
   Attachments:  
      Soils Plan  
      Monitoring Site Map
A. Public Notification Efforts

Check all that apply: (Please select applicable values)

☑ Notice to interested Parties/Groups (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02/23/2009]
☑ Published on Applicant's Website (Enter date in mm/dd/yyyy format) [02/25/2009]
☐ Published in Newspaper
☑ News Release Issued
☑ Public Meeting(s) Hearing(s) Held

B. Public Comments

The forest received three comments from the public in support of the O&M and development grants and the following comments from the OHV division

General Evaluation Criteria

1. #1c RMIS not used
2. # 7a narrative does not support question
3. #8d narrative does not support question

BCDT

1. #6 revist question .

Restoration Lopez

#9 this question does not apply

Restoration

#9 this question does not apply

C. Application Development as a result of Public Comments

a. Were changes made to the Application as a result of public comments? (Please select ☐ Yes ☐ No)

b. Describe how public comments affected the Application

General Evaluation Criteria

1. #1c RMIS not used---- Changed answer to National Visitor Use Monitoring Results. BLM to use Recreation Management Information System data.
2. # 7a narrative does not support question ----Changed answer
3. #8d narrative does not support question -----Changed answer

BCDT

1. #6 revist question. Grant withdrawn due to lack of NEPA documents

Restoration Lopez

#9 this question does not apply ----Changed answer to does not apply

Restoration

#9 this question does not apply----Changed answer to does not apply
1. Applicant Certifications

A. General Conditions

1. The Applicant hereby certifies, under the penalty of perjury, compliance with the following terms and conditions:

   1. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees to monitor the condition of soils and wildlife in the Project Area each year in order to determine whether the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to Public Resource Code (PRC), Section 5090.35 and the HMP prepared pursuant to Section 5090.53(a) are being met.

   2. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion, to repair and prevent accelerated erosion, until the same soil conservation standard adopted pursuant to PRC Section 5090.35 is met.

   3. If the Project involves a Ground Disturbing Activity, the Applicant agrees that, whenever the HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is not being met in any portion of a Project Area, the recipient shall close temporarily that noncompliant portion until the same HMP prepared pursuant to PRC Section 5090.53(a) is met.

   4. The Applicant agrees to enforce the registration of off-highway motor vehicles and the other provisions of Division 16.5 (commencing with Section 38000) of the Vehicle Code and to enforce the other applicable laws regarding the operation of off-highway motor vehicles.

   5. The Applicant agrees to cooperate with appropriate law enforcement entities to provide proper law enforcement at and around the Facility.

   6. The Applicant’s Project is in accordance with local or federal plans and the strategic plan for OHV Recreation prepared by the OHMVR Division.

B. Programmatic Conditions

B. The Applicant must describe the following programmatic conditions:

1. Identify the potential for the facility to reduce illegal and unauthorized OHV Recreation activities in the surrounding areas:

   The Angeles National Forest (ANF) covers 70% of the open space in Los Angeles County with a population of over 11 million. This large population base results in very high use of the Off Highway Vehicle (OHV) areas the ANF has developed 270 Miles of OHV routes and 490 acres of open riding areas for OHV users. most of these users are new to the sport and are looking for areas close to the Los Angeles area to use their OHV many are also unaware of many state and federal laws on OHV use.

   The funds requested this project are essential to providing safe and responsible use this type of program will reduce conflicts with non motorized users, private property owners, and protecting natural and cultural resources thereby reducing soil erosion, loss of vegetation, loss of wildlife habitat, and degradation of water quality. This program will provide maintained OHV system including proving OHV maps, maintaining and installing barriers and signs.

2. Describe how the Applicant is meeting the operations and maintenance needs of any existing OHV Recreation Facility under its jurisdiction:

   The district utilizes forest employees, volunteers and state inmates to accomplish operation and maintenance (O&M) needs. The forest employees survey the facilities on scheduled timeframes to identify the needs for maintenance. Generally forest employees complete most O&M projects that occur day to day such as: sign repairs and replacement, trash removal, restroom cleaning, painting, minor barrier repairs, hazard fuel reduction and public information. For larger projects such as trail maintenance and barrier replacement additional forest employees and state inmates are used to assist in the completion of the project.
C. Fee Collection

Describe how fees collected pursuant to Section 38230 of the Vehicle Code (in-lieu funds) are utilized and whether the fees complement the Applicant's proposed Project:

D. Compliance with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C)

Projects within the O&M category that affect lands identified as inventoried roadless areas by the U.S. Forest Service, are compliant with PRC 5090.50(b)(1)(C). (Please select Yes or No)

2. Governing Body Resolution

3. Land Manager Authorization
1. **OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary**

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary

   a. Does the land manager agency provide legal OHV riding opportunity? *(Please select Yes or No)*

      Starting (Month/Year)  10/2006   Ending (Month/Year)  09/2007

   b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity

      i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months)  12

      ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation  270

      iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation  140

      iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days)  138411

      v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity  337.59

1 OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary (2)

   c. Reference Document that support the responses to a. and b. on previous page

      National Visitor Use Monitoring Results

   d. Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12  337.59

      Visitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) Score  5

2. **Quality of OHV Opportunity**

   Land Manager’s OHV program  12

   Check all that apply *(Please select applicable values)*

   ☑ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution at no cost *(2 points)*

   ☑ Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land Manager’s website *(2 points)*

   ☑ Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail *(2 points)*

   ☑ Map indicates appropriate OHV use type *(ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.)* *(2 points)*

   ☑ At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities *(restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures)* *(2 points)*

   ☑ Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names, directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature *(2 points)*

3. **Variety of OHV Opportunity**

   a. Skill levels *(e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced)* indicated by publicly available maps or signage marking trails with relative difficulty  5

      (Check the one most appropriate) *(Please select one from list)*

      3 or more skill levels *(5 points)*

      1 skill level *(1 point)*

      2 skill levels *(3 points)*

      Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity *(No points)*

   b. Type of OHV Opportunity *(ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy)*  6

      (Check the one most appropriate) *(Please select one from list)*

      Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types *(6 points)*

      Opportunities for 2 vehicle types *(3 points)*
4. Agency Contribution

Cost of OHV Program for Land Manager’s most recent complete fiscal year (not to include cost of indirect overhead): 583000

% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds): 1

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)
- No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)
- 10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)
- 11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)
- 26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)
- More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)

Reference Document
Grant folders contain support documents including timesheets and receipts for last fiscal year

5. Project Performance

For Applicant’s OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance period within the last two years, the percentage of all deliverables accomplished 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)
- 100% of Deliverable accomplished (5 points)
- 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished (3 points)
- Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished (No points)
- First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)

6. Previous Year Performance

In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. 3

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY (Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)
- In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit (3 points)
- First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
- In the previous year the Applicant has not been responsive (No points)

7. Prevention of OHV trespass

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Fence (Page 1)

a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas is prevented? 0

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)
- No (answer items b and c) (Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)

Explain 'Yes' response:

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Patrol (Page 2)
b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate) 3

(Check the one most appropriate)  (Please select one from list)
- At least 5 days per week (5 points)
- At least once per week (3 points)
- At least once per month (1 point)
- Less than once per month (No points)

Explain patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel, percent of lands covered by patrols)

The Law Enforcement program on the ANF includes patrols consisting Law Enforcement Officers and Forest Protection Officers that will be patrolling 270 Miles of developed OHV routes and 140 acres of open use area and high OHV use areas such as Rowher Flat, Drinkwater Flat, Littlerock and San Gabriel canyon and general forest areas where illegal OHV use has been reported or observed. The officers will be using off road motorcycles, All Terrain Vehicles (ATV) and 4x4 vehicles to prevent OHV use in unauthorized areas and apprehend violators. These patrols reduce additional damage to natural and cultural resources and conflicts with non-motorized users. The maximum numbers of patrols are scheduled for weekends and holidays when use is at its highest the funds requested for this project are essential to providing safe and responsible OHV use

7. Prevention of OHV trespass - Measures (Page 3)

c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas 5

(Check all that apply)  (Please select applicable values)
- Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas (3 points)
- Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)

Explain measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas

The Angeles uses barriers with natural vegetation and topography to insure the protection of natural and cultural resources and reduce trespass into private property. The staff also regularly attends OHV user trade shows such as the Off Road Expo and the International Motorcycle Show, community events with the Los Angeles County Sheriffs Department at the local mall in the town of Valencia, attending local parades in towns such as Acton and Lake Elizabeth and providing maps and Tread Lightly information at all events. The staff works with local OHV dealers by providing sound testing for OHV users to correct non compliant vehicles prior to visiting the ANF thereby reducing conflicts with non motorized users and private property owners. The staff also maintains informational, directional and regulatory signs as needed to provide the greatest opportunity so the OHV user can be compliant in the rules and regulations. This type of signing can be found in staging areas and along OHV routes.

8. OHV Education

8 OHV Education - Page 1

a. Education materials available onsite 10

(Check all that apply)  (Please select applicable values)
- Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices (5 points)
- Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation (5 points)

b. Applicant or Land Manager provides formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices: 1

(Check the one most appropriate)  (Please select one from list)
- 50 or more per year (3 points)
- 20 to 49 times per year (2 points)
8. OHV Education - Page 2

c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use 4

(Choose the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ Daily (5 points) ☐ On all weekends (4 points)
☐ On the majority of weekends (2 points) ☐ On major holidays (1 points)
☐ None of the above (No points)

d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are offered 1

(Choose the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ Weekly (3 points) ☐ Monthly (1 point)
☐ Less frequently than monthly (No points)

Describe Land Manager's onsite education efforts:

The ATV rider course is provided to the public by a person under contract with ATV Safety Institute

9. Website

a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished through the Land Manager's website 0

(Choose the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

☐ No (skip to question 10) ☑ Yes (provide URL address and answer item b)

Provide URL address  www.fs.fed.us/r5/angeles

b. The Land Manager's website contains the following items 5

(Choose all that apply) - Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5 points. (Please select applicable values)

☑ Map to location ☐ Hours of operation ☑ Safety information
☐ Visitor facilities ☐ Contact information ☑ News releases
☑ Information on responsible riding ☑ Map of Facilities ☐ Fee schedule
☐ Seasonal restrictions ☑ Link to Division Website ☐ Law enforcement contact information

10. OHV Outreach

Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applicant utilizes: 3

Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points. (Please select applicable values)

☐ Billboards ☐ CDs and/or DVDs
☑ Community meetings ☐ OOH dealers
☐ Fairs ☐ News releases
☑ Other (specify) [OHV trade shows] ☐ Television
☐ Parades ☐ Radio
☐ Programs at schools

11. Natural and Cultural Resources

11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 1

a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native vegetation? 0
11. Natural and Cultural Resources - Page 2

b. Resource Management Information System  5

Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains at least the following:

- Ongoing survey/inventory of species
- Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites
- Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations
- Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the species;
- Recommendations for improvement in species management
- Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction of species? (Please select one from list)

- No (No points)
- Yes (5 points)

Reference Document

Archeological sites that are in conflict with OHV use are monitored on an annual basis by a certified forest archeologist. A report is generated outlining any impacts from OHV activities and the mitigation measures that need to be implemented to resolve the issues.

Wildlife monitoring is completed annually to identify any habitat or species population changes attributed to OHV use. A qualified Wildlife Biologist will recommend any changes needed to improve habitats or species populations.

12. Soil Management

12. Soil Management - Page 1

a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of its OHV Opportunities?  5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- No (No points)
- Yes (5 points)

Explain ‘Yes’ response  A Soils Conservation Plan has been established following the 2008 Soil Conservation Standard and Guidelines. Within the plan are protocols for assessment, trail maintenance and monitoring to evaluate soil condition.

b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues?  5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- No (No points)
- Yes (5 points)

Explain ‘Yes’ response  Methods to address soil issues are established in the Soils Conservation Plan.

12. Soil Management - Page 2

c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring  2

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)
13. **Sound Level Testing**

The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing. (Check only one if applicable) (Please select one from list)

- Monthly (3 points)
- After major rain events (2 points)
- Annually (No points)
- On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)
- At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)
- Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)

Describe the sound testing program:

Sound testing is done on weekends and holidays by trained staff, this often results in a warning or a federal violation notice being issued for non compliance. The users are also given information as to where they might acquire the proper equipment to meet the sound standards. A log of the sound test results is available at the Santa Clara/Mojave Rivers Ranger District office. Sound testing is also provided at local motorcycle dealerships thereby enabling OHV users to correct the non compliant sound levels of their vehicles prior to visiting the ANF and reducing field contacts for this type of violation in the future and allowing enforcement staff to enforce more serious violations or respond to calls for medical aid.