

**State Historical Resources Commission (SHRC)  
Archaeological Resources Committee (ARC)**

Conference Room 1412  
1416 9<sup>th</sup> Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
State Resources Building  
Sacramento, California  
(Other meeting locations listed below)

**Minutes**

August 28, 2009 2:00 -3:00 p.m.

**Agenda Item I: Introduction/Attendees:**

Trish Fernandez (State Historical Resources Commission), Anmarie Medin (Caltrans), Mike Newland (Sonoma State University), Randall Dean (San Francisco Planning Department), Janet Eidsness (Society for California Archaeology Native Americans Program Committee), Cassandra Hensher (Society for California Archaeology Native Americans Program Committee), Adrian Praetzellis (Sonoma State University), Gregg Castro (Society for California Archaeology Native Americans Program Committee), Donn Grenda (State Historical Resources Commission), Glenn Gmoser (Society for California Archaeology), Cindy Stankowski (San Diego Archaeological Center), Sannie Osborn (Army Corps of Engineers).

**Agenda Item II: Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes**

Janet Eidsness had emailed suggested edits to the group prior to the meeting. After minor discussion, Donn Grenda moved to accept the minutes with Eidsness' edits. There was minor clarification that Action Item 81 was discussed at the May 29 meeting but didn't make it onto the main Action Item tracking sheet.

**Agenda Item III: Discussion on Responses to Comments re: White Papers**

Trish Fernandez reviewed an email she sent Friday morning informing the group of what needed to be accomplished before the white papers could be formally presented to the SHRC for action. The SHRC ARC Committee Chair (Trish Fernandez) will present the white papers to the SHRC for the full SHRC's review and action. Record of consultation will be available if SHRC members want further information.

The following four items must be accomplished:

1. Responses to comments need to be input into master Comments table.
2. Authors prepare a revised draft of the white papers with tracked changes (red lined deletions and colored new text) integrating the committee's comments.
3. ARC sends SHPO and OHP counsel revised draft white papers to review, along with Master Comments table and Committee Review of Responses to Comments.
4. Authors make tracked changes integrating SHPO and OHP counsel comments to white papers, resulting in the second revised draft, which will go to SHRC for review and action. This needs to be done with sufficient time for the SHRC to meaningfully review

the white papers – I would say this should be done no later than 2 weeks before the scheduled meeting, which would be October 15.

There was considerable discussion as to whether the schedule is possible with the group deciding it is not. Author Mike Newland stated that the Interpretation paper will be easy to revise with only minor changes. Author Adrian Praetzellis stated the Standards and Guidelines paper will not be revised because comments are at a “higher level” than the white papers can accomplish. Praetzellis stated that in general comments reflected dissatisfaction with the current system rather than recommendations for fixes.

Cindy Stankowski stated the Curation paper raised many issues and it will take some time to properly address the issues. There was considerable discussion on how to revise the paper to appropriately consider the comments. Essentially there is controversy in curation since points of value can be in conflict. The white paper needs to acknowledge that rather than try to fix the issue. The white paper is from the ARC and does not reflect the personal beliefs of any individuals on the committee. Stankowski will revise the paper with input from Eidsness and Hensher.

Conservation and Preservation white paper authors were unavailable for this meeting.

There was discussion of the overall schedule for finalizing the papers. The group decided getting everything done in time to present at the next SHRC meeting on Oct. 29 was overly ambitious, particularly since we needed input from individuals outside the committee. Fernandez will work with others to plan for a realistic schedule (see Action Items).

#### **Agenda Item IV. Review/add to Action Items**

##### *New Action Items Summary*

*NEW ACTION ITEM 82: Follow up on proposed schedule with Conservation and Preservation White Paper authors (ARC Chair Trish Fernandez).*

*NEW ACTION ITEM 83: Arrange with OHP to post table of received comments on SHRC web page (ARC Chair Trish Fernandez).*

*NEW ACTION ITEM 84: Coordinate with OHP Counsel and SHPO on schedule for review of white papers (ARC Chair Trish Fernandez).*

*NEW ACTION ITEM 85: Confirm Agenda Item for next meeting: discussion on protocol for public participation (ARC Chair Trish Fernandez).*

#### **Agenda Item VI: Schedule next meeting**

The next ARC meeting will be held Thursday October 1, 2009, 2:00-3:00 pm

**State Historical Resources Commission  
Archaeological Resources Committee Meeting**

August 29, 2009

**Additional Meeting Locations in California**

Redlands

SRI Conference Room, 21 West Stuart Avenue, Redlands (Host: Donn Grenda)

San Francisco

San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco  
(Host: Randall Dean)

Blue Lake

Blue Lake Rancheria Tribal Office, 428 Chartin Road, Blue Lake, CA 95525 (Host:  
Janet Eidsness)